

Historical Facts vs Myths:



Historical Facts vs Myths:

Through out our lives we are very much dependent on those in authority to give us meaning and a sense of history in our lives. People devote themselves to a particular topic and over time they are considered an “authority” their ideas become so entrenched that they deny the realities of historical evidence and of course every one who believes in that authority bury their heads in the sand and do not look at evidence.

Few men (and women) challenge authority – it’s much like challenging the elders of a society – the old the wise – “these people know best.” Their views get so entrenched within society that when challenges are made one is at risk of ridicule or ex-communication. Society here means any group of people with shared aims and objectives.

When challenges are made – then the devoted pack rallies round their leaders in a protective circle – they are usually silent in regard to evidence presented – choosing to ignore or deny – and would rather kick out the person that brings new light – and therefore plunging themselves into darkness and ignorance.

Dogma – Belief – Faith – Conviction – are but of many facets to self delusion. The belief in the veracity of the bible is a case in point – people believe in it as a truth and ignore the historical evidence that it is based on events that took place many hundred of thousands of years before. So too today – we have the myths of the Democracy Movement – the Peaceful lawful Dissent Movement which both fabricate a view of the world which is not true. Not true in the sense of the veracity of historical facts. They much prefer their myths and legends to

the reality of factual events.

When you become a “believer” in their web of lies – you become misguided – ignorant – and can not liberate yourselves because you are a “believer” and must be witness to your faith. And of course you must show your faith to your Leadership – those in authority. They tell you that you are all free men (and women) you are believers in their cause – no matter how misguided and untruthful that cause may be – and how baseless the foundations.

Some promote Democracy – Trial by Jury and of course those that found their belief on the rock of Magna Carta 1215 Article-61 people twist the evidence the veracity the truth for their own misguided ends – usually in a futile belief that things will change by peaceful dissent – it’s much like some one sitting on their sofa bemoaning what they see on the television – the MSN – but don’t actually get off their arse to make real changes – they bleat “we want peaceful change” and thus deny every revolutionary change that has happened throughout the history of humankind.

People swap one kind of slavery for another – people know what is going on and seek to redress those concerns – they can see that the fabric of society is being unravelled much like a ball of string and that they are being slowly but surely indoctrinated to accept a reality to which they do not share. That statement applies whether you are on the so-called “Left” or “Right.” People are more aware today that society is being manipulated – conditions are being created for an event – but as yet that “event” remains unclear.

There is a social agenda to change opinions and beliefs. Money is being slowly deprived for essential services like health care and education – whilst funding is going into private health care and the old grammar school system. A new elite is being created – “fresh blood” and a nation of slaves is being

created – the vast majority of the population who were once agricultural slaves and now being trained as employees – another word for slave.

There are clearly global events – changes and there “local” pertaining to England. Let’s look at England – and the likely outcome:

(1) The destruction of all socialist policies. The destruction of the Welfare State of Trade Unions – of health education energy transport and police prisons social care etc.. What was once in the public domain open and transparent has now been or is being transferred to the private sector – which is not open or transparent and not open to public scrutiny.

(2) The MSM. All media at one time or another – represented the views of those that owned it. With the rise of radio especially in times of conflict (war) it was seen as a means of communicating information – but increasingly seen as a means of propaganda – the growth of people owning and relying on a television as their main access point to “news” and increasingly “entertainment” insured that the State had a captive audience. The State now controls all forms of Social Media – and I include Twitter and FaceBook. Increasingly people are being directed to think on established lines – fake news – people can not tell the difference between reality and fictions to the point when they don’t believe in anything. This creates a disillusioned people. An apathetic and easily manipulated population.

(3) People have no sense of security. On average people are in work for two or three years – gone are the days of forty years in the same job. People’s contracts of employment are forcing them into a life of uncertainty and poverty – food banks – used by nurses and junior doctors and of course the growing millions of urban poor. People are getting brainwashed into believing that “money” offers security well-being peace of mind and a happy life – to which their deprivations drive them to the lottery or gambling and of course the loan sharks.

There is no social housing – only rented accommodation in which those homes are not fit to live in. More suicides more depression – all leads to an insecure population where the majority of people live in private slums with no good health care and a very very poor education system.

(4) Gender manipulation. Increasingly people are being undermined by propaganda – that they are sexless – without gender. Why is this? Why breed an uneducated people that are sexless? They don't breed and feel very very guilty about doing so. This means population control. It means controlling what people think and say. No masculine or feminine words – the “correct” speech – the correct education and the perverting the minds of our young children. Then we have the thousands of children that go missing or captured by Social Services and those children become sex slaves to Muslim controlled groups or sold off to paedophile groups or those involved in so-called satanic rituals who operate on a global basis.

(5) Then we have the destabilising of culture. Those followers of islam in their many many thousands all within the confines of their own area are much like a State within a State – a country within a country which reject all the values of the host country. On average the indigenous population may produce on average 2 children – those that follow islam produce 10 or more. It does not take a rocket scientist to work out the exponential growth in islamic population in regard to the indigenous population. All islamists want Sharia Law in England. So at some point in the future there will be a clash between the indigenous population and those that wish for England to be an islamic State. And do not forget that islamists already have Sharia Controlled Zones in our inner cities and already operate islamist schools health care and Sharia Courts. There will be marches on both sides – one wanting a Sharia State and the other in opposition to that. We already have a private police force which is being given arms.

What then you ask? What then? Such outbreaks nation-wide will call for “emergency powers” and a call for the armed services to be put on the streets to back up this private army of armed police. Then we would be under Martial Law. And will remain under Martial Law.

People would be called “terrorists” “extremists” “trouble-makers” “lefties” “fascists” and will be rounded up and put in correction camps. This could happen within the next sixty years. Demonstrations by people will be put down by the army – with legitimate legal force. The MSM will brand all those that are in dissent as terrorists. You have the luxury of reading this today – in sixty years no education unless you have the money to buy it means that the vast majority of the population are uneducated employees – no different from what people were like three or four hundred years ago. Reality for them could be a complete fiction as it is for so many now. The elite in England wish to remain in power and they seem to have a plan. That plan is being put into action right now – today and tomorrow – until their objectives are complete.

So what is happening globally?

The fact is that every country that does not accept the “oil-dollar” has effectively been turned into a war zone and all it’s natural assets have been stolen by the very rich and powerful. Every country that has rejected a Rothschild bank has been flung into a war not of it’s own choosing.

Every country that has tried to base trade on a gold standard or in independence of a Rothschild bank has been subject to war. War means money. Being in a state of war generates profits – for the few. Who funds ISIS? American CIA and jewish Mossad. They fund ISIS on a global basis from the Far East (Philippines Burma Sri Lanka) to the Near East (Syria Libya Iran etc..).

Russia is being provoked by America israel and the UK because

it has rejected Rothschild banks and the oil-dollar – China will also face the same issues as all the countries of the world currently face – domination of a global corporate network based on Rothschild banks and the oil-dollar. The horrible fact is that Jewish money is behind all global wars – and the world is in conflict right now. Few countries can claim that they are at “peace” across Europe we see the rise

of Islamist groups funded by the CIA and Mossad. People are being made slaves to Capitalism – world-wide – and increasingly this is being brought about through contrived wars – and large corporates make a great deal of money from global conflicts.

American bases cover every country of the world. Every country. America and Israel have declared a global war on every nation on Earth to gain control of its peoples and its natural resources. In each case they establish a military right-wing dictatorship. In allies to America and Israel we are seeing increasing changes to establish a military dictatorship. England – Europe is on the same path no matter the break away from the EU. All roads lead to military dictatorship – universal enslavement – by a very very wealthy few – who control the world in which we all live. This is the reality to which we are confronted. What we do to change – has far reaching consequences for all on this planet – maybe we will be just a tiny handful of survivors free from our chains.

To conclude:

We are facing fundamental changes in our lives. Historically we all fled from being agricultural slaves to join an Industrial Revolution which promised freedom – but only led us deeper into bondage and slavery. A great war which killed us by the millions and millions – which we then got a voice demanding the vote better wages and housing conditions which were begrudgingly given. Then another war – which gave us a political voice – Communism Socialism – better health care

education housing – all were demanded and given. But now all are being slowly taken away.

We have been brainwashed into thinking that parliamentary democracy works. That we live in a democratic society. That we have freedoms and rights that are protected in Law. We have been divided – not as freemen and bonded agricultural peasants – but now as a class system based on wealth and privilege. We have been divided one class against another class and those with a greater wealth have greater privileges. But we are ALL bonded economic slaves who at least can join another master or the next – which is our only real freedom which distinguishes us from bonded slaves centuries in the past who were bonded to one master.

We play the same game – each year we elect the same or a differing political party – which enacts Statutes which have a direct control over our lives. Some of those Statutes are beneficial others not so – but each time we vote that party in power slowly but surely erodes the gains that other people have fought for rebelled for rioted for. Each time we vote we cut away the past – every four years we see more and more people are suffering at the hands of the state that that rules us. That privileged elite have remained in power for hundreds of years. And that privileged elite will continue to enslave us.

Without money – you have no home no food no family and no friends. You are deprived of all privileges – and treated like filth – which is a lesson we all keep in the back of our minds – “I don’t want that to happen to me” I must conform – I must do better – I must succeed – I must make money to gain security and privileges. We are all controlled by our own greeds and anxieties and led like lambs to further depravation and poverty with no rights and no freedoms.

We have to unite – not have differing grievances – differing agendas. The elite are progressively enslaving you. And really

there seems nothing you can do but watch the water flow down the plug hole until you are sucked down next.

What makes things a lot worse is that there is no leadership. There is no leader that makes any attempt to unite us all. What we do have is those in "authority" that preach a particular doctrine which serves their best interests. These authorities form themselves into small "righteous cliques" which proclaim "I know best" – "I am an authority" – "Do as I tell you" and so they grind their small wheel and make a profit out of it. And you? What of you? You become a "believer" of their fictions and myths – and little by little you become enslaved – until you stop seeking the truth – stop seeing reality as it is – until you are lost into a mythical world to which those in authority weave about your head.

Today I wish to awaken you to the real world – not one of fiction of myth and delusion. People are being made poor on a local and global basis. There is a plan to enslave you and there are plans to destroy you. That is the reality to which we need to wake up too. Yiu now face a choice – to the slaughter house or get off and destroy that elitist train.

End

Magna Carta 1215 Article-61 A Deeper Analysis

Magna Carta 1215 Article-61
A Deeper Analysis

Magna Carta was not intended to be a great charter of rights for all people, but designed by the barons to ensure that

their rights were protected against the king's power.

Most of the 63 clauses granted by King John dealt with specific grievances relating to his rule. However, buried within them were a number of fundamental values that both challenged the autocracy of the king and proved highly adaptable in future centuries. Most famously, the 39th clause gave all 'free men' the right to justice and a fair trial. Some of Magna Carta's core principles are echoed in the United States Bill of Rights (1791) and in many other constitutional documents around the world, as well as in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) and the European Convention on Human Rights (1950).

Having said that, most if not all European Americans are completely in ignorance to the true meaning of Magna Carta asserting that it applied to all – all in the sense of all men and all women – which is very far from the truth. Most Americans do not have any knowledge concerning their own Bill of Rights not even the Declaration of Independence. Americans are all too quick to say they are free people and the foundation of democracy to which the world should follow – the reality of America and that of it's people are far from their deluded view of themselves and as much removed from the historical meanings of Magna Carta.

The Latin reads as follows:

Cum autem pro Deo, et ad emendacionem regni nostri, et ad melius sopiendum discordiam inter nos et barones nostros ortam, hec omnia predicta concesserimus, volentes ea integra et firma stabilitate (in perpetuum)[2] gaudere, facimus et concedimus eis securitatem subscriptam;

videlicet quod barones eligant viginti quinque barones de regno quos voluerint, qui debeant pro totis viribus suis observare, tenere, et facere observari, pacem et libertates quas cis concessimus, et hac presenti carta nostra

confirmavimus; ita scilicet quod, si nos, vel justiciarius noster, vel ballivi nostri, vel aliquis de ministris nostris, in aliquo erga aliquem deliquerimus, vel aliquem articulorum pacis aut securitatis transgressi fuerimus, et delictum ostensum fuerit quatuor baronibus de predictis viginti quinque baronibus, illi quatuor barones accedant ad nos vel ad justiciarium nostrum, si fuerimus extra regnum, proponentes nobis excessum, petent ut excessum illum sine dilacione faciamus emendari.

Et si nos excessum non emendaverimus, vel, si fuerimus extra regnum, justiciarius noster non emendaverit infra tempus quadraginta dierum computandum a tempore quo monstratum fuerit nobis vel justiciario nostro, si extra regnum fuerimus, predicti quatuor barones referant causam illam ad residuos de illis viginti quinque baronibus, et illi viginti quinque barones cum communa tocius terre distringent et gravabunt nos modis omnibus quibus poterunt, scilicet per capcionem castrorum, terrarum, possessionum et aliis modis quibus poterunt, donec fuerit emendatum secundum arbitrium eorum, salva persona nostra et regine nostre et liberorum nostrorum; et cum fuerit emendatum intendent nobis sicut prius fecerunt.

Et quicumque voluerit de terra juret quod ad predicta omnia exequenda parebit mandatis predictorum viginti quinque baronum, et quod gravabit nos pro posse suo cum ipsis, et nos publice et libere damus licenciam jurandi cuilibet qui jurare voluerit, et nulli umquam jurare prohibebimus. Omnes autem illos de terra qui per se et sponte sua noluerint jurare viginti quinque baronibus de distringendo et gravando nos cum eis, faciemus jurare eosdem de mandato nostro sicut predictum est.

Et si aliquis de viginti quinque baronibus decesserit, vel a terra recesserit, vel aliquo alio modo impeditus fuerit, quominus ista predicta possent exequi, qui residui fuerint de predictis viginti quinque baronibus eligant alium loco ipsius, pro arbitrio suo, qui simili modo erit juratus quo et ceteri.

In omnibus autem que istis viginti quinque baronibus committuntur exequenda, si forte ipsi viginti quinque presentes fuerint, et inter se super re aliqua discordaverint, vel aliqui ex eis summoniti nolint vel nequeant interesse, ratum habeatur et firmum quod major pars eorum qui presentes fuerint providerit vel preceperit ac si omnes viginti quinque in hoc consensissent; et predicti viginti quinque jurent quod omnia antedicta fideliter observabunt, et pro toto posse suo facient observari. Et nos nichil impetrabimus ab aliquo, per nos nec per alium, per quod aliqua istarum concessionum et libertatum revocetur vel minuatur; et, si aliquid tale impetratum fuerit, irritum sit et inane et numquam eo utemur per nos nec per alium. [Articles, section 49.]

In modern English translation we have:

But when for God, and to any reform of our kingdom, and to better sopiendum discord between us and the barons of our resultant material, these all aforementioned concesserimus, wishing it entire and firm stability (forever) to enjoy, we make and grant to them the security subscriptam;

namely that the barons choose five and twenty barons of the kingdom whom they will, who ought for all the strength of their observe, maintain, and make observed, the peace and liberties which the cia concessimus, and with this present charter of ours to reiterate; so of course that, if we, or justiciarius our, or for our or any of our servers, in any way towards anyone deliquerimus, or any articles of peace or security have broken we have been, and the offense be notified to four barons of the aforesaid twenty-five barons, those four barons scheduled to us or to the chief justice of us, if we have been outside of the kingdom, proponentes us excess, will be asked to excess him without dilacione do improved.

And if we be not excessive emendaverimus, or, if we have been outside of the kingdom, justiciarius our emendaverit within the time forty days computandum a time where monstratum has

been to us or the honourable chief justice of our, if you are outside of the kingdom we have been to, stacked the four barons at times cause it to residue of those twenty-five barons, and those twenty five barons with the communa for the entire land distringent and gravabunt we ways all of which will, of course by arrest camp, world, property, and other ways in which they will be able, until it has been emendatum according to the decision of their saving our personality and regine our and our children; and when it has been emendatum intendent of us like the first did.

And whoever of the land of the juret that to all of the above exequenda will be displayed the instructions of the aforementioned twenty-five baronum, and that it is we for being able to stitch with them, and we publicly and freely give leave jurandi any who, swearing he wants to, and no one ever swearing prohibebimus. All those in the land who of themselves and of their own accord are unwilling to swear to the twenty five barons of distringendo and gravando us with them, we do swearing the same about our command as predictum.

And if any of the twenty-five barons has died, or from the earth gone, or any other way get checked has been a violation of these aforementioned could carry out, that the remnant have been out of the aforesaid twenty-five barons choose another place of his, for their choice, who in a similar way will be witness where the rest of us. But In all that these twenty-five barons are committed to exequenda, if maybe they are twenty-five present have been, and each other over the matter some discordaverint, or some of them summoniti they do not or cannot attend, this should be considered and the firm that major part of those who present have been provides or preceperit and if all twenty-five in this consensissent; and stacked twenty-five jurent that all antedicta faithfully observabunt, and for the whole to be able to his make observed. And we nothing will request from any, by us or by another, by which any of these concessionum and freedoms

rewritten or diminished; and if any such thing has been procured, let it be void and never use it personally by us or by another.

Translation by the British Library:

61. Since, moreover, for God and the amendment of our kingdom and for the better allaying of the quarrel that has arisen between us and our barons, we have granted all these concessions, desirous that they should enjoy them in complete and firm endurance forever, we give and grant to them the underwritten security, namely, that ***the barons choose five and twenty barons of the kingdom, whomsoever they will, who shall be bound with all their might, to observe and hold, and cause to be observed, the peace and liberties we have granted and confirmed to them by this our present Charter, so that if we, or our justiciar, or our bailiffs or any one of our officers, shall in anything be at fault towards anyone, or shall have broken any one of the articles of this peace or of this security, and the offense be notified to four barons of the foresaid five and twenty, the said four barons shall repair to us (or our justiciar, if we are out of the realm) and, laying the transgression before us, petition to have that transgression redressed without delay. And if we shall not have corrected the transgression (or, in the event of our being out of the realm, if our justiciar shall not have corrected it) within forty days, reckoning from the time it has been intimated to us (or to our justiciar, if we should be out of the realm), the four barons aforesaid shall refer that matter to the rest of the five and twenty barons, and those five and twenty barons shall, together with the community of the whole realm, distrain and distress us in all possible ways, namely, by seizing our castles, lands, possessions, and in any other way they can, until redress has been obtained as they deem fit, saving harmless our own person, and the persons of our queen and children; and when redress has been obtained, they shall resume their old relations towards us. And let***

whoever in the country desires it, swear to obey the orders of the said five and twenty barons for the execution of all the aforesaid matters, and along with them, to molest us to the utmost of his power; and we publicly and freely grant leave to everyone who wishes to swear, and we shall never forbid anyone to swear. All those, moreover, in the land who of themselves and of their own accord are unwilling to swear to the twenty five to help them in constraining and molesting us, we shall by our command compel the same to swear to the effect foresaid. And if any one of the five and twenty barons shall have died or departed from the land, or be incapacitated in any other manner which would prevent the foresaid provisions being carried out, those of the said twenty five barons who are left shall choose another in his place according to their own judgment, and he shall be sworn in the same way as the others. Further, in all matters, the execution of which is entrusted, to these twenty five barons, if perchance these twenty five are present and disagree about anything, or if some of them, after being summoned, are unwilling or unable to be present, that which the majority of those present ordain or command shall be held as fixed and established, exactly as if the whole twenty five had concurred in this; and the said twenty five shall swear that they will faithfully observe all that is aforesaid, and cause it to be observed with all their might. And we shall procure nothing from anyone, directly or indirectly, whereby any part of these concessions and liberties might be revoked or diminished; and if any such things has been procured, let it be void and null, and we shall never use it personally or by another.

In other words – the Barons having made the rules for bringing peace to the realm state that if they break any of the rules – then you may seize their castles etc.. If the Barons do not keep their end of the bargain then we can all take action against them. It does not say that if king John does not keep his word then we can seize his castles – and in regard to our own monarch – she was not deposed. We still have a legitimate

Queen of England. It matters not that the monarch committed treason – one can not use Article-61 to justify any actions – which only spell out actions one can take against the Barons.

What are the implications for those in the New Chartist Movement and those that are involved with “peaceful” dissent?

(1) Peers who in 2001 thought that by invoking Magna Carta 1215 and specifically Article-61 believed it to be addressed at the Monarch – whereas it was addressed to the Barons themselves and so the monarch ignored it.

(2) The Peers – continued to mistakenly believe it referred to the monarch then went on to denounce and dispose of the monarch – which was erroneous.

(3) Today all who sign an oath of allegiance to the Barons are signing to distrain and seize the assets of the Barons.

(4) The legality of challenging those that have taken an oath to the monarch can not be challenged on the grounds of Article-61

(5) Article-61 confers no legal Common Law rights whatsoever to act in a way that is contrary to Common and Civil Law.

There is no legal basis to take action on Article-61 it has no lawful validity in any English Court. We have shown there is no legal or historical validity to trial by jury as some ignorant people would claim – though there is some validity for those that claim “democracy” the state when historically a few privileged people rule and have power over an unprivileged many – the names of the privileged may change a “monarch” the “rich elite” etc.. but this does not confer people power or people rule – one needs a differing form of social cohesion and organisation for that.

End Notes

1. The original manuscripts are written continuously without section breaks; here the generally accepted numbering of the clauses has been followed. There was no title or headline.

2. This article is result of a changing preference in trial methods, a change heavily influenced by pope Innocent III's edict at the fourth Lateran Council in 1215 that forbade trial by ordeal, a previously usual trial method.

The Saxon invaders had brought to Britain the crude method of determining guilt, for crimes such as murder, theft, robbery, harbouring, forgery, arson, through trial by ordeal. The ordeal would be by fire or by water, the belief being God would miraculously intervene to protect the innocent. If found guilty, the accused lost a hand and a foot, and was also banished.

Later, the Normans introduced trial by battle and trial by inquisition. The latter was trial by the country (represented by a jury, usually of twelve knights or freemen). Trial by inquisition was used when trial by battle was inappropriate—the accused was a woman or an old man—or if the accused had appealed, the appeal eventually reaching the county court. A writ awarding a trial by inquisition could also be bought from the king.

Thus, this article entitles any person to a writ of inquisition without payment, rather than submitting to trial by battle or by ordeal—"life or limb".

(Source: http://www.constitution.org/gje/gj_01.htm)

3. The Magna Carta was a first attempt at separating the powers of the legislature (who make the laws), the judiciary (who pass judgement on the laws) and the executive (who carry out the judgements). Translations widely available today take no account of the intention to curb the authoritarian and arbitrary actions of King John by a separation of these powers.

The original Latin phrase *vel per legem terre* in Magna Carta has frequently been translated as "or by the law of the land". This current translation differs from that literal interpretation because

1. the phrase "Common Law" more clearly distinguishes between laws made by the sovereign, potentially and often for his benefit, and the common law developed over hundreds of years to protect all members of society and which, from 1346, the king was sworn to maintain;

2. the Latin word *vel* may be translated as "and" or, commonly, as "or". If the latter translation ("or") is used, there is a potential implication in Article 39 that there would be occasions when the accused person was to be judged by his peers, but using the king's arbitrary self-serving law, rather than the established Common Law. In such a case, there would be a contradiction to the intentions of the Magna Carta—to curb the power and scope of the king.

In light of the above, the common translation of *per* as "by" does not show sufficiently clearly that the king was being permitted merely to act in an executive fashion against his subjects, only under orders from the judiciary, who had made their order according to established law. Thus, the alternative translation of "according to" is more appropriate.[6]

4. This was at the foot of the Manuscripts Ci and Cii, both held in the British Library.

(There are four original manuscripts of the Magna Carta still surviving. The two held in the British Museum are designated Ci and Cii. The other two copies are at Lincoln Cathedral, designated L, and at Salisbury Cathedral, designated S.)

5. This was at the foot of Manuscript Ci, which is one of the two manuscripts held in the British Library, and sometimes considered to be the oldest copy.

End

Democracy Defined – An English and an American Perspective



Democracy Defined – An English and an American Perspective

For much of England's history we have been ruled by War Lords and at various times on and off by monarchs that thought they were in power by the grace of god with absolute powers and are themselves above and beyond the law. For thousands of years the population has been but bonded agricultural slaves – with no rights no say under any laws.

We had no common language – no “English” every county spoke it's own dialect and had it's own rules and customs. We have to look at fairly modern times – for a common language to develop – the 19th. century when at least their were more grammar schools and people who could afford them.

The Roman catholic church was the dominating factor of the life of the poor and that of our War Lords and monarchy. They taught the common language of the nobility “Latin” for without Latin their would be no communications between a monarch and the “nobility” that ruled our everyday affairs.

Of course 99.9 per cent of the population did not understand Latin – which is as true to day as say three or four hundred years ago. Much of the agreements between War Lords and monarch were all written in Latin – there was no consideration for agricultural peasants who could neither read nor write.

So let's define democracy. For those in Greece – who had a republic it means power or rule by the people. But the people in question were an elite – they had their bonded slaves who

worked in their homes in their business and on their farms – and these people had no rights at all. Democracy defined is “a privileged class ruling an unprivileged class.”

It is to be noted that women never ever entered the equation. There was no equality under the law no democracy and no trial by jury. And if you cling to the misguided view that Magna Carta supports Democracy and trial by jury you are very much mistaken. Promoting such myths is a disservice to everyone.

It is to be noted that the judgement of our peers (same class) was and is an important principle of English law – War Lords could judge War Lords free men could judge free men – but no one could be the judge of a monarch except god. The jury system was created by War Lords – who appointed free men (those that owned their own land or business) who were to investigate any misdemeanours that occurred – this then was brought before the War Lord who would listen to the jury who had investigated the crime – hear the defendant and then decide on what if any punishment was required.

There were no women. No equality. “No power of the people” – and “no people rule.” The only “people” that existed were a small minority – War Lords the Church the monarchy and the free men – the other 99 per cent of the population had no rights whatsoever.

It was only in the late 13th early 14th centuries that Henry decided to make judges go out across in England to hear cases – hence the term “common” applying to all of England. These trials occurred in Latin – the common language of the War Lords and the judges – which then got translated into the “English” spoken in that location – but jurors remained in that they investigated complaints and misdemeanours and brought that evidence before the judge and the Baron – the War Lord.

The Sex Disqualification (Removal) Act 1919 abolished the

previous bar on women serving as lawyers, judges or magistrates. What is less well known is that the 1919 Act also removed other bars to women's formal inclusion in public life.

It opened up much of the civil service to women, for example, and also made them liable to serve as jurors. So no women served on a jury till 1919. It is a delusion to think that trial by jury equates with equality – equates with freedom and that the trial by jury system is in anyway the foundation of democracy. Anyone that holds such a view is very very ignorant of the facts of history.

This is the true meaning of democracy as defined by reality and historical fact it is a privileged class ruling an unprivileged class – and that is still the case when we look at our own “democratic systems.” In England there was no such thing as power to the people – or that people rule – conditions were such that you had to own property to be given the vote and for those without no votes no rights whatsoever. Even today in the UK it is to have property which is your “key” to democracy and privilege.

So why do Americans love democracy Magna Carta and Trial by Jury so much that they have a complete delusion about English history? Americans – or rather Europeans that invaded America killing the indigenoussness peoples – By issuing the Declaration of Independence, adopted by the Continental Congress on July 4, 1776, the 13 American colonies severed their political connections to Great Britain.

The Declaration summarized the colonists' motivations for seeking independence. They were fed up with paying too much taxes. And they decided in the English tradition to have open rebellion. Modern day advocates of democracy – do not like the idea of rebellion or that Americans were at war with England.

In fact by 1775, tensions between the American colonies and the British government approached the breaking point,

especially in Massachusetts, where Patriot leaders formed a shadow revolutionary government and trained militias to prepare for armed conflict with the British troops occupying Boston.

Those that are all for peace now do not recognise that America went to war with England – and it was a long war – 19th. April 1775 – 3rd. September 1783. All these Englishmen that broke their ties with England were well aware that there was on sovereign (the monarch) and all were property of the crown – all those men came from commerce or had a trade craft and were in English Law “free men” that could bare arms.

In severing their bonds with England – and having no monarchy they formed a republic of free States where each “free man” could declare himself sovereign. But not women – the 19th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution granted American women the right to vote, a right known as women’s suffrage, and was ratified on August 18, 1920, ending almost a century of protest. So America is not the land of equality freedom as one is lied too.

The United States Constitution did not originally define who was eligible to vote, allowing each state to determine who was eligible. In the early history of the U.S., most states allowed only white male adult property owners to vote.

What of all those Americans that did not own land? Were they sovereign? No. What about women that owned their own land? No. What about the remaining indigenous population? No. There was no free man. If a man owned property he could vote – Declaration of Independence signed. Right to vote during the Colonial and Revolutionary periods is restricted to property owners – most of whom are white male Protestants over the age of 21.

Because there is no agreement on a national standard for voting rights, states are given the power to regulate their

own voting laws. In most cases, voting remains in the hands of white male landowners. 1790 Naturalization Law passed. It explicitly states that only "free white" immigrants can become naturalised citizens.

1971: Adults aged 18 through 21 are granted the right to vote by the Twenty-sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution. This was enacted in response to Vietnam War protests, which argued that soldiers who were old enough to fight for their country should be granted the right to vote. So much for the land of the free.

It is clear that American history has followed developments and customs based in England – though in allowing the vote for every one it was a bit late in the day. American have always been a bit backward when it comes to human rights and freedoms.

Americans – those elite that owned land or property (including slaves) declared themselves to be sovereigns on the basis that they had severed their ties with England and then went on to a bloody war to sustain their independence – whilst much of their attitudes and customs remained firmly English.

Article III of the U.S. Constitution states that all trials shall be by jury. The right was expanded with the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, which states in part, "In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed," and the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution, which guarantees a jury trial in civil cases. There are three types of juries in the United States: criminal grand juries, criminal petit juries, and civil juries.

In the case of women – gained eligibility to serve on State Juries: 1870: Wyoming (1870, 1890-1892) 1883: Washington (1883-1887) 1898: Utah (no regular service until 1930s) 1911:

Washington 1912: Kansas, Oregon 1917: California 1918: Michigan, Nevada 1920: Delaware, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Ohio 1921: Arkansas (no regular service until 1950s), Maine, Minnesota, New Jersey, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin 1923: Alaska (prior to statehood) 1924: Louisiana 1927: Rhode Island 1937: Connecticut, New York 1939: Illinois, Montana 1942: Vermont 1943: Idaho, Nebraska 1945: Arizona, Colorado, Missouri 1947: Maryland, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Dakota 1949: Florida, Massachusetts, Wyoming 1950: Virginia 1951: New Mexico, Tennessee 1952: Hawaii, Oklahoma 1953: Georgia 1954: Texas 1956: West Virginia 1966: Alabama 1967: South Carolina 1968: Mississippi. There was no uniformity of women on juries. No equality. America has always been ruled by a powerful property and business owning class – where most Americans are but under-privileged slaves.

Some Americans are deluded into thinking there was no rebellion no war in creating America – and worse that all Americans are free and equal before the law and had equal rights. This is a delusion fostered on us by the ignorant.

America has a “democracy” that is a class of privileged people ruling an unprivileged people and no equality before the law and no trial by jury system other than being judged by men of your own class. There was no common laws in America each State has it’s own laws which are common to that State – the same conditions 2018 which has it’s parallels in England in the 12th century.

We see that the delusions of American sovereignty rests on wealthy privileged white males whose declaration of independence only served their own commercial interests of white wealthy privileged males.

There are those that wish to deny reality – swearing their support for non-violent democracy and the re-establishment of the trial by jury system. They want to establish white supremacy. Wealth free trade low taxation no free health care

no free education – and are right wing Conservatives with a little “c.”

They reject the historical fact that it is through rebellion armed conflict that has brought about the changes we see today – Americans forget that they hanged blacks from trees as a common practice – they forget the race riots and riots against the wars in the late 60’s. They forget much of their 300 year old history and also conveniently forget the thousand years of English history to promote ideas without any factual historical foundations.

They forget that people only get change when they rebel against the oppressive tyranny that they suffer on a daily basis. Even the early Chartist movement was an act of rebellion arming and training themselves to overthrow the State.

Magna Carta confirmed the privileges of the few. Magna Carta did not promote equality democracy universal suffrage or in deed not trial by jury. Democracy defined as we know from historical fact is the rule by those privileged over an unprivileged majority. There was no such thing as equality – even in the land of the not free. There was no equality in the trial by jury system – unless of course you believe in white wealthy male supremacy.

Throughout history people have engaged in open rebellion – even European Americans who gained their “independence” – it is through rebellion that real changes are made. This is a factual historical truth which can not be denied though many deny reality.

Magna Carta 1215 is not your ticket to salvation. What is? There is one fundamental truth for salvation: See things as they are today and seek to make changes today. It is to see the common sufferings of ALL – not the bleating of white privileged wealthy males. If making changes and that change

leads one to open armed rebellion – accept that fact as people accepted that fact in the 1215 and in 1775.

It is only now that we can have unity of purpose w have unity of being able to speak a common language “English” which we all know – this was not commonly available even in the 18th century. We have the ability to communicate ideas to all – and to unite all – to have but one single purpose – which is to establish a true people’s socialist agenda and the complete overthrow of the elite.

Parliamentary democracy is not the answer – for each parliament can undo what all previous parliaments have done. We have seen that in eight years the Tories have all but destroyed all the gains made for the past 70 years and that game of gain and loss will continue every four years with an ever new ignorant population believing in parliamentary democracy.

And lest we forget parliamentary democracy was established for the landed gentry who had a vote. Even today it is the principle of owning property that confers privilege – look at the homeless – those with private land lords poor housing not fit to live in and Grenville. Property confers wealth – property confers privilege – privilege confers power.

There are many within the “New Chartist Movement” who are living in a dream – a dream of their own fictions. That fiction is there is no monarch and thus like deluded Americans have conferred on themselves that they are all sovereigns. The monarchy still exists as a corporate sole – a corporation like any other business Tesco or Boots.

She is there legally according to civil law. We have to accept this fact – unless you seek rebellion to completely overthrow the civil laws of England. Which for the majority and the leadership of the “New Chartist Movement” is to horrifying to contemplate.

Today we are faced with the fact of many interest groups who are fighting their own corner of injustice and poverty. We have millions of people who are fooled by democracy and that of parliamentary democracy – voting for real change which never actually happens. Corruption and self enrichment is common place – which has been the case for hundreds of years.

As each new generation comes of age to vote they are fed the same old lies – “vote for democracy” “power to the people” “the people rule” and so people vote for democracy little realising that they vote for the continuance of slavery.

Those that rule us by the privilege of wealth and power wish to remain in their privilege positions – getting elected to parliament will not change that fact. We have to have a ground swell of people that wish to change their lives from debt slaves to free people not dependent on property. We have to attract that mass of people who are suffering now – many many millions of people are being reduced to poverty and have no rights of redress.

We only have to look at homeless people who are fined because they have no property no home no property. This fact tells you something of those that rule us – only those with property have privileges. Such was the view right up to the 20th. century and those people can not vote – they have no place of residence. What if those in power made a statute law proclaiming that all who own property or land can vote? This was so in the 1920s.

It would mean millions of people had no vote – all at our colleges and our universities all nurses all junior doctors every one from all walks of life would find that they were deprived of voting. Worse university students saddled with £50,000 or more in debt would be unable to get onto the property ladder. Any government can introduce such legislation and there is nothing that you are I can do to prevent it.

We face grave dangers now – the destruction of the health service – to which we pay for via our National Insurance Contributions – yet it is being sold so that we then pay double for our national health – that all services are under commercial ownership for profit from private companies. What then? Two-thirds of the population with no health care? No pensions? No welfare payments? We do not want an American style democracy in England.

What happens when students have to pay to go to college? Many millions of people can not afford their children going to college. This means millions of uneducated people that can be fed the lies. We do not want an American style democracy in England.

Libertarian Democracy loving Americans believe in minimal taxation minimal legislative intervention private enterprise in all facets of ones public and private life. Democracy means for them a privileged elite ruling millions of unprivileged people – America is the worst form of tyranny today.

The New Chartism needs to remember the past – when it was a real threat to the established order – and to be clear on its objectives. It also must accept the conflict that will arise – confrontation over differing values a different future for the people of England. The more we grow in strength the more those in power will introduce legislation to restrict our activities. This is the nature of rebellion against tyrants.

I recognise that many who have influence over others across the movement of “lawful dissent” who wish to follow the path of democracy and a passive approach to social change have to face the fact that it will take a good thousand years by which time the people of England will be very much enslaved with no rights whatsoever for the vast majority of people.

The fact is that in 1215 and 1775 people wanted redress now. Those people chose armed revolt a fact that is so often

overlooked and ignored – but those people chose rebellion. They chose rebellion fully aware that it would bring them into conflict. But they still chose the path of rebellion. Those that tell you otherwise are lying to you.

It's as if your energies are being directed from real change that you remain in acceptance of the way things are now – you become compliant directed ineffectual so that your energy is dissipated. Their idea is that you can not win your liberation from suffering and injustice. They put you on a path which leads nowhere. They do this because they make their money from you! They serve their own commercial interests and lie to you!

That is the greatest injustice the greatest betrayal of all. Remember this – all of you who believe in peaceful democracy “the people rule” “people power”

Power is not given – Power is always taken.

Gather yourselves up – be united and reject all those that do their best to deceive you – rise up with one clear aim to topple those that enslave you!

Non-Violent Action You Can Take

Hello - Thank you for visiting - but the content is restricted to registered users - so please register now!! [Log in](#) | [Register](#)

198 METHODS OF NON-VIOLENT ACTION

Practitioners of nonviolent struggle have an entire arsenal of “nonviolent weapons” at their disposal. Listed below are 198

of them, classified into three broad categories: nonviolent protest and persuasion, noncooperation (social, economic, and political), and nonviolent intervention. A description and historical examples of each can be found in volume two of The Politics of Nonviolent Action, by Gene Sharp.

THE METHODS OF NON-VIOLENT PROTEST AND PERSUASION

Formal Statements

1. Public Speeches
2. Letters of opposition or support
3. Declarations by organizations and institutions
4. Signed public statements
5. Declarations of indictment and intention
6. Group or mass petitions

Communications with a Wider Audience

7. Slogans, caricatures, and symbols
8. Banners, posters, and displayed communications
9. Leaflets, pamphlets, and books
10. Newspapers and journals
11. Records, radio, and television
12. Skywriting and earthwriting

Group Representations

13. Deputations
14. Mock awards
15. Group lobbying
16. Picketing
17. Mock elections

Symbolic Public Acts

18. Displays of flags and symbolic colors
19. Wearing of symbols
20. Prayer and worship

21. Delivering symbolic objects
22. Protest disrobings
23. Destruction of own property
24. Symbolic lights
25. Displays of portraits
26. Paint as protest
27. New signs and names
28. Symbolic sounds
29. Symbolic reclamations
30. Rude gestures

Pressures on Individuals

31. "Haunting" officials
32. Taunting officials
33. Fraternalization
34. Vigils

Drama and Music

35. Humorous skits and pranks
36. Performances of plays and music
37. Singing

Processions

38. Marches
39. Parades
40. Religious processions
41. Pilgrimages
42. Motorcades

Honouring the Dead

43. Political mourning
44. Mock funerals
45. Demonstrative funerals
46. Homage at burial places

Public Assemblies

47. Assemblies of protest or support
48. Protest meetings

49. Camouflaged meetings of protest
50. Teach-ins

Withdrawal and Renunciation

51. Walk-outs
52. Silence
53. Renouncing honors
54. Turning one's back

THE METHODS OF SOCIAL NON-COOPERATION

Ostracism of Persons

55. Social boycott
56. Selective social boycott
57. Lysistratic nonaction
58. Excommunication
59. Interdict

Noncooperation with Social Events, Customs, and Institutions

60. Suspension of social and sports activities
61. Boycott of social affairs
62. Student strike
63. Social disobedience
64. Withdrawal from social institutions

Withdrawal from the Social System

65. Stay-at-home
66. Total personal non-cooperation
67. "Flight" of workers
68. Sanctuary
69. Collective disappearance
70. Protest emigration (hijrat)

THE METHODS OF ECONOMIC NON-COOPERATION: ECONOMIC BOYCOTTS

Actions by Consumers

71. Consumers' boycott

72. Non-consumption of boycotted goods
73. Policy of austerity
74. Rent withholding
75. Refusal to rent
76. National consumers' boycott
77. International consumers' boycott

Action by Workers and Producers

78. Workmen's boycott
79. Producers' boycott

Action by Middlemen

80. Suppliers' and handlers' boycott

Action by Owners and Management

81. Traders' boycott
82. Refusal to let or sell property
83. Lockout
84. Refusal of industrial assistance
85. Merchants' "general strike"

Action by Holders of Financial Resources

86. Withdrawal of bank deposits
87. Refusal to pay fees, dues, and assessments
88. Refusal to pay debts or interest
89. Severance of funds and credit
90. Revenue refusal
91. Refusal of a government's money

Action by Governments

92. Domestic embargo
93. Blacklisting of traders
94. International sellers' embargo
95. International buyers' embargo
96. International trade embargo

THE METHODS OF ECONOMIC NON-COOPERATION: *THE STRIKE*

Symbolic Strikes

- 97. Protest strike
- 98. Quickie walkout (lightning strike)

Agricultural Strikes

- 99. Peasant strike
- 100. Farm Workers' strike

Strikes by Special Groups

- 101. Refusal of impressed labor
- 102. Prisoners' strike
- 103. Craft strike
- 104. Professional strike

Ordinary Industrial Strikes

- 105. Establishment strike
- 106. Industry strike
- 107. Sympathetic strike

Restricted Strikes

- 108. Detailed strike
- 109. Bumper strike
- 110. Slowdown strike
- 111. Working-to-rule strike
- 112. Reporting "sick" (sick-in)
- 113. Strike by resignation
- 114. Limited strike
- 115. Selective strike

Multi-Industry Strikes

- 116. Generalized strike
- 117. General strike

Combination of Strikes and Economic Closures

- 118. Hartal

119. Economic shutdown

THE METHODS OF POLITICAL NON-COOPERATION

Rejection of Authority

120. Withholding or withdrawal of allegiance

121. Refusal of public support

122. Literature and speeches advocating resistance

Citizens' Non-cooperation with Government

123. Boycott of legislative bodies

124. Boycott of elections

125. Boycott of government employment and positions

126. Boycott of government depts., agencies, and other bodies

127. Withdrawal from government educational institutions

128. Boycott of government-supported organizations

129. Refusal of assistance to enforcement agents

130. Removal of own signs and placemarks

131. Refusal to accept appointed officials

132. Refusal to dissolve existing institutions

Citizens' Alternatives to Obedience

133. Reluctant and slow compliance

134. Non-obedience in absence of direct supervision

135. Popular non-obedience

136. Disguised disobedience

137. Refusal of an assemblage or meeting to disperse

138. Sitdown

139. Non-cooperation with conscription and deportation

140. Hiding, escape, and false identities

141. Civil disobedience of "illegitimate" laws

Action by Government Personnel

142. Selective refusal of assistance by government aides

143. Blocking of lines of command and information

144. Stalling and obstruction

145. General administrative non-cooperation

146. Judicial non-cooperation

147. Deliberate inefficiency and selective non-cooperation by enforcement agents

148. Mutiny

Domestic Governmental Action

149. Quasi-legal evasions and delays

150. Non-cooperation by constituent governmental units

International Governmental Action

151. Changes in diplomatic and other representations

152. Delay and cancellation of diplomatic events

153. Withholding of diplomatic recognition

154. Severance of diplomatic relations

155. Withdrawal from international organizations

156. Refusal of membership in international bodies

157. Expulsion from international organizations

THE METHODS OF NON-VIOLENT INTERVENTION

Psychological Intervention

158. Self-exposure to the elements

159. The fast

a) Fast of moral

pressure

b) Hunger strike

c) Satyagrahic fast

160. Reverse trial

161. Non-violent harassment

Physical Intervention

162. Sit-in

163. Stand-in

164. Ride-in

165. Wade-in

166. Mill-in

167. Pray-in

168. Non-violent raids

169. Non-violent air raids

170. Non-violent invasion

171. Non-violent interjection

172. Non-violent obstruction

173. Non-violent occupation

Social Intervention

174. Establishing new social patterns

175. Overloading of facilities

176. Stall-in

177. Speak-in

178. Guerrilla theatre

- 179. Alternative social institutions
- 180. Alternative communication system

Economic Intervention

- 181. Reverse strike
- 182. Stay-in strike
- 183. Non-violent land seizure
- 184. Defiance of blockades
- 185. Politically motivated counterfeiting
- 186. Preclusive purchasing
- 187. Seizure of assets
- 188. Dumping
- 189. Selective patronage
- 190. Alternative markets
- 191. Alternative transportation systems
- 192. Alternative economic institutions

Political Intervention

- 193. Overloading of administrative systems
- 194. Disclosing identities of secret agents
- 195. Seeking imprisonment
- 196. Civil disobedience of "neutral" laws
- 197. Work-on without collaboration
- 198. Dual sovereignty and parallel government

Without doubt, a large number of additional methods have already been used but have not been classified, and a multitude of additional methods will be invented in the future that have the characteristics of the three classes of methods: nonviolent protest and persuasion, noncooperation and nonviolent intervention.

It must be clearly understood that the greatest effectiveness is possible when individual methods to be used are selected to implement the previously adopted strategy. It is necessary to know what kind of pressures are to be used before one chooses

the precise forms of action that will best apply those pressures.

Social Rebellion

Social Rebellion

Today people from all walks of life are suffering. They are being made homeless having their benefits cut – free school meals cut – hundreds of thousands of children are living in sub-standard housing – crammed into the same living space of their parents. People are being found fit for work – and die within days or weeks yet others are found fit for work though they are dead.

There is only one fact that is important – only one fact that you need to keep in the forefront of your mind: ***People are suffering injustice every single day. Millions of people are suffering every single day.***

We have seen nursing staff leave nursing – or are made redundant – and so it is with other health care professionals – though all these staff are required needed to provide good quality health care – they are all being stripped away.

Thousands of policemen and women are being dismissed – rising crime – no-go areas and a low conviction rate are all being created by a government that has no consideration for public safety. The “bobby” on our streets is being reduced to a small and elite armed force. We are seeing the rise of private policing but in reality these are private armies – arrest without due cause and arrest without a trial are being fostered on the English people.

We are also seeing cut-backs in our armed forces – with little or no investment in equipment and men and women. Those people are being turned out of the armed services and many thousands end up homeless on our city streets – reduced to begging – dying in freezing conditions – and the attendant mental and physical health problems to which they become inflicted.

This drive to reduce social welfare – of health education the fire service policing the armed services – hospitals libraries – public transport – energy and housing has gone on for many many years. A progressive destruction of the very fabric of our society – which at one time was the envy of the world to which many countries copied. Our position on league tables has dropped – we are not quite on the bottom as is America – but soon we will see the destruction all of that we once held dear.

It matters not if you believe the government of the day to be “unlawful” or that you believe our monarch is “unlawful” or that our courts are just a commercial business to make money rather than concerned with justice. It matters not if you believe that our “bobby” has become just another corporate enterprise.

It matters not that you think all laws are “unlawful” from such and such a date. It matters not how “unlawful” the history of England changed in the 13th century in the 1930s the 1950s the 1960s – what we have today (2018) is an “unlawful” regime bent on the total destruction of our civilised society. That means society as a whole – not a specific section of it.

Here we need to be clear on terms – what is “unlawful” and what is “illegal.” Unlawful is rooted in our Common (Case) Law – Illegal is rooted in our Civil Law. Unlawful means contrary to or unauthorized by law; illegal.

When applied to promises, agreements, or contracts, the term denotes that such agreements have no legal effect. Black’s Law

Dictionary defines unlawful as not authorized by law, illegal. Illegal is defined as forbidden by law, unlawful. Semantically, there is a slight difference. It seems that something illegal is expressly proscribed by statute, and something unlawful is just not expressly authorized. The law disapproves of such conduct because it is immoral or contrary to public policy. Common Law is rooted in a set of moral principles that govern the lives of the people for example:

1. To avoid taking the life of beings. This precept applies to all living beings not just humans. All beings have a right to their lives and that right should be respected.
2. To avoid taking things not given. This precept goes further than mere stealing. One should avoid taking anything unless one can be sure that is intended that it is for you.
3. To avoid sensual misconduct. It covers any overindulgence in any sensual pleasure such as gluttony as well as misconduct of a sexual nature.
4. To refrain from false speech. As well as avoiding lying and deceiving, this precept covers slander as well as speech which is not beneficial to the welfare of others.
5. To abstain from substances which cause intoxication and heedlessness.

They are all moral instructions (Buddhist) to which all members of society are to follow. Illegal makes it illegal for you to do something – then you are breaking the law – it is illegal not to have a driving licence – it is illegal not to pay council tax and it's illegal to go over 30 miles an hour in a 30 mile limit.

Statute laws make it illegal for you to do a particular thing. Common Law requires you to keep within the moral guidelines

for the benefit of society as a whole. We are all governed by Civil Law today. Parliament lays down Statute Laws and Regulations which control people's lives and it matters not if those Laws Regulations are immoral or contrary to Common Law. Today Civil Law is the Rule of Law not Common Law.

It matters not if we argue the validity of Common Law or Statute Law – it is the effects of Statute Law on the lives of the common people that we should be concerned with. That those Statute Laws cause widespread suffering and daily misery should be our prime concern and those that enact such Statute Laws should be our primary focus – what happened 400 years ago is somewhat irrelevant to people living on the streets – the suffering of thousands of children every day and the life of misery for their parents – the old and infirm – who suffer everyday of their lives.

People give themselves a purpose in life. They abide by strict interpretations of what is “unlawful.” They develop a level of expertise – They focus their attention on one small niche – and then give expert advice to provide a remedy for the injustices that a minority of people suffer from. They give life a meaning for themselves and give no thought to the wider injustices and sufferings of the people. They develop a narrow-minded specialism – and devote their time to providing Common Law to that of Civil Law little realising that such acts of defiance have no impact on Civil Law itself.

A magistrate may swear an oath to a dethroned monarch and thus making his position “unlawful” but increasingly we are seeing all oaths to the monarch being changed to be in accordance with Civil Law. Judges and magistrates on being sworn in, are required by various statutes to take two oaths: the oath of allegiance and the judicial oath, (collectively; the judicial oath). Judges of Hindu, Jewish, Muslim and Sikh religions can omit the words “I swear by Almighty God” and replace it with an acceptable alternative.

Oath of allegiance:

“I, _____ , do swear by Almighty God that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law. “

Judicial oath:

“I, _____ , do swear by Almighty God that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second in the office of _____ , and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this realm, without fear or favour, affection or ill will. “

Affirmation – Allegiance:

“I, _____ , do solemnly sincerely and truly declare and affirm that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second Her Heirs and Successors according to Law”

Affirmation – Judicial:

I, _____ , do solemnly sincerely and truly declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second in the office of _____ , and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this Realm without fear or favour affection or ill will.

For police constables in England they make an “Attestation”:

“I, ... of ... do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the Queen in the office of constable, with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people; and that I will, to the best of my power, cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property; and that while I

continue to hold the said office I will to the best of my skill and knowledge discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law.”

Though there are some that wish to change this as serving the Queen is against their faith – and it’s argued that it stops recruitment from ethnic minorities. There are an increasing number of Sikhs Hindus and Atheists who become magistrates – judges and the requirement to swear an oath to a god or a monarch are being slowly phased out – what then for all these agitators?

The police – members of Parliament are not required to swear an oath to the crown. The growth of a purely commercial police force is increasing – their only allegiance is to their pay packets and their employers – there will only be a requirement to follow and prosecute under Civil Law in accordance with the terms and conditions of (Civil Law) contract of their employers..

The ruling government at the end of the day make new Statute Laws and amends existing – and at the end of the day it is those in government and those that have the power that introduce laws that cause common people to suffer. Throughout our history common people have rebelled and have had riots of protest – all because those in power have caused the common people a great deal of harm.

There is nothing we can do about the wrongs that occurred centuries before – there is little that can be done – Statute Law will always change to make it illegal – moral outrage can do nothing if it’s made illegal – to protest to march to show any kind of dissent – will choke people to death.

Active rebellion is not part of the agenda for those that are Chartists – or are part of the broader Lawful Dissent Movement – they believe in peaceful common law dissent not active rebellion to overthrow those in power – and certainly do not

follow in anyway Article-61 of MC-1215 – they would rather drop all reference to it.

There are of course many that follow the Democracy Defined Movement – again these people have no regard to history – but follow laze faire economics the establishment of a free market economy where capitalism further enslaves the people of this planet.

Today Fireman think of Fireman – Nurses think of Nurses – Doctors think of Doctors – ex-Soldiers think of ex-Soldiers – Policemen – think of Policemen – Teachers think of Teachers – everyone thinks of themselves within their own niche – without considering the sufferings of all English men and women. The question is who speaks for the common man women and child that lives in misery and suffering every single day of their lives?

People are very much concerned with their own issues – fighting in their own corner and not having any thought to the wider suffering that this government inflicts on all our people. All our people.

What is required is a social revolution – which is bottom-up rather than a party-led or purely political party revolution aiming to reorganise all of society – which it never does – what we want is in the words of Alexander Berkman “social revolution means the reorganisation of the industrial economic life and consequently the entire structure of society.”

Political Parties are a part of the established elite within the Statute Law System – making some gains and then losing everything at the next or a subsequent General Election – in an endless round which few profit from – apart from the political parties themselves in this merry-go-round of constant gain and loss – all the while people get poorer and poorer and suffer more and more.

Parliamentary Political Parties like Labour Tory and Liberal are but differing faces to the same established elite who's

primary goal is to imprison the mind and then enslave you.

The American Democracy Movement is no different. It wants a free market economy – little government intervention and the reduction of income tax. The Democracy Movement the Chartist Movement do not support a free National Health Service Free Education Free Transport Free Energy – they all support Conservatism be it a small “c.”

None of these organisations want social rebellion – they do not want social revolution – they want very very limited change whilst leaving the elite in full control of society. They all want the establishment of “Democracy” a rich and powerful elite ruling the common people. One should consider two points (1) Our monarch is still firmly in place – she is kept in place by the elite which controls everything (2) If our monarch was there legally in accordance with Common Law – there would be no Lawful Dissent Movement.

They would have nothing to complain about – and would do nothing about the everyday sufferings of the people. As a point of fact Kenn D’Oudney is against anything that threatens peace

Kenn in Brackets: When Injustice Becomes Law, PPEACFUL REBELLION Becomes A DUTY! Join the PEACEFUL Rebellion Today! <http://gbenet.com> – little realising that peaceful rebellion is a contradiction in terms.

There are many millions in England that feel that the complete overthrow of the elite is the only way for ordinary people to at last throw off their chains and be truly free men and free women. Joining the Chartist Movement – Lawful Dissent Movement Democracy Defined Movement – will not make you free – their aim is to enslave you to a legal monarchy legal democracy and the establishment of free market economics and liberal government prevail.

If you are like me that wants’ to see a social revolution a

social rebellion then join me – I want all men and women to be free if you are of the same mind join me today!

Democracy – What Democracy???

Hello - Thank you for visting - but the content is restricted to registered users - so please register now!! [Log in](#) | [Register](#)

Democracy – What Democracy?

We in England have always lived under an aristocracy and a monarchy for many thousands of years – we still do today. Each and every county of England had it's War Lord (Baron etc.) to which 98 per cent of the people were bonded slaves to the land. With growing industrialisation many of these bonded slaves – flocked to the towns and cities finding work – living in appalling conditions.

A new group of rich were created – having made their money at of industry and commerce – who then went on to build fine houses – send their kids to exclusive schools and took on the airs graces and customs of the old landed-gentry – many of whom quickly turned their attention to this new way of getting rich.

We have always had tensions between the monarch and this aristocracy – the wealthy elite. We have seen the growth of parliament over the last 100 years – and we have seen the rise of political parties the Tories supporting the monarch and the aristocracy and the Liberals supporting the growing middle and upper class professionals and much later the Labour Party representing the common man and women who have had the vote for a mere 100 years.

What we call democracy in the UK is that we are all ruled by an elite with a monarchy that sits as supreme head of the nation – and we all – the common man and the aristocracy are subjects to that monarchy. Even our parliament is subject to the monarchy and Members of parliament have to swear an oath to the monarch – though in modern times this sworn allegiance is now dropped.

There are many authors that write about democracy and spend much time defining it – demos “the people” and kratia “power or rule” which for the ancient Greeks meant power to the nobility – and not to every one else – bonded slaves were common place and the nobility at the time had house slaves and those that worked on their lands.

In all truth democracy means a noble elite who holds the power over others. It does not mean and has never meant “common man holds power or rules.” Democracy has always meant the privileged ruling the unprivileged. Though to the uneducated they deceive people lie to people and to themselves.

Democracy has never meant “people power” or “people rule” it has always meant an elite rule or an elite holds the power. We have never lived under a system where the people rule or where the people have power. We live in a world where the new rich the elite and the old aristocracy and the monarchy rule and have the power. This is the real meaning of democracy it was the same for ancient Greeks as it is for modern people now.

There are one or two miss-guided Libertarian Americans that are under the delusion that we in England live in a Democracy (dēmos ‘the people’ + -kratia ‘power, rule’). The trouble is Democracy has become one of those great myths – we think of Democracy as all men are free and able to vote. Even in Greece – this was not the reality.

Slaves were not free and were unable to vote. Only the ruling elite the landed gentry had such privileges. Most common

people had no rights at all. In England the common principle was – if you owned land owned property you could vote – the same principle that was in Greece and the same principle that governs those in the “Democracy” movement today. The “people” meant the controlling elite who had the power – not bonded slaves.

The Liberal Democrats “Liberals” American Republicans and the Libertarians all represent this middle class gentry where the principles of capitalism are at it’s heart. They all accept values founded in the 17th century of lase faire capitalism no State intervention no NHS no free Education and minimal taxation – all of which we associate with modern day Conservatism.

Libertarians etc. support maximum liberty in both personal and economic matters tend to embrace individual responsibility, oppose government bureaucracy and taxes, promote private charity, tolerate diverse lifestyles, support the free market, and defend civil liberties and are usually all pacifists and all usually very well off financially. An English education founded on the Grammar school in the 40’s gave a rosy picture of empire and Greek mythology.

What about Trial by Jury? According to George Macaulay Trevelyan in A Shortened History of England (1958), during the Viking occupation: “The Scandinavians, when not on the Viking warpath, were a litigious people and loved to get together in the ‘thing’ to hear legal argument. They had no professional lawyers, but many of their farmer-warriors, like Njal, the truth-teller, were learned in folk custom and in its intricate judicial procedure.

A Danish town in England often had, as its principal officers, twelve hereditary ‘law men’. The Danes introduced the habit of making committees among the free men in court, which perhaps made England favourable ground for the future growth of the jury system out of a Frankish custom later introduced by the

Normans.” Note the word “free men” the majority of men and women were not free.

The English king Æthelred the Unready issued a legal code at Wantage, which states that the twelve leading thegns (minor nobles) of each wapentake (a small district) were required to swear that they would investigate crimes without bias. These ‘juries’ differed from the present-day kind by being self-informing; instead of getting information through a trial, the jurors were required to investigate the case themselves.

In the 12th century, Henry II took a major step in developing the jury system. Henry set up a system to resolve land disputes using juries. A jury of twelve free men were assigned to arbitrate in these disputes. Unlike the modern jury, these men were charged with uncovering the facts of the case on their own rather than listening to arguments in court. Henry also introduced what is now known as the “grand jury”, through his Grand Assize.

Under the assize, a jury of free men was charged with reporting any crimes that they knew of in their hundred to a “justice in eyre,” a judge who moved between hundreds on a circuit. A criminal accused by this jury was given a trial by ordeal. Under the jury, the chances of being found guilty were much lower, as the king did not choose verdict (or punishment).

The Church banned participation of clergy in trial by ordeal in 1215. Without the legitimacy of religion, trial by ordeal collapsed. The juries under the assizes began deciding guilt as well as providing accusations.

The same year, trial by jury became a fairly explicit right in one of the most influential clauses of Magna Carta, signed by King John. Article 39 of Magna Carta reads (translated by Lysander Spooner in his *Essay on the Trial by Jury* (1852)):
“No free man shall be captured or imprisoned or disseised of

his freehold or of his liberties, or of his free customs, or be outlawed or exiled or in any way destroyed, nor will we proceed against him by force or proceed against him by arms, but by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land.”

Trial by jury had nothing to do with democracy and by and large had nothing to do with 98 per cent of the population who were all bonded agricultural slaves. “Free men” were the townsmen the townsmen – the business people who owned land owned homes and owned bonded slaves as house servants or who worked on their fields in tied cottages. Article-39 refers to free men – not the bonded peasants of which Magna Carta 1215 did not concern itself with. The trial by jury system came from the nobles – the elite and had nothing to do with ordinary people.

Why is it that American are so deluded? We have to understand that for Americans the Declaration of Independence was heavily influenced by Magna Carta 1215 and of course English Common Law – trial by jury all of which the Americans embraced with enthusiasm. Americans believe the we British are the foundation of the “true” democracy – in the sense of power to the common people and power through trial by jury – which clearly historically has never been the case. It was an invention by our Grammar schools that fed this myth.

The Americans were not going to be ruled by a king some supreme being that was above the law. It is why many Americans believe they live in a democracy of all free men – that delusion is still with them till this day. The myth was further ingrained in the minds of grammar school and private school kids learning Latin and French from the ruling elite and that of public debating which became quite ritualised. Two boys on either side of a proposition would present their case – the person that won the argument did so by getting more votes.

An idealised mythological view of what was supposed to have happened in “democratic Greece several thousands years ago. Grammar schools kids were imbued with this fantasy – a golden age of science mathematics and democracy little realising that the reality of those times was the great fairy tale to which the middle and upper classes so strongly believed in. As with much else Libertarian Americans believe wholly in these fictions.

Reality: Throughout English history we have lived under a feudal monarchist system. And we still live under that same system that we did hundreds of years ago. The same principles apply no NHS no free education no taxation for the wealthy and little or no government interference on how you make your money and where you keep it.

We have scrapped the grammar schools which created Libertarian soft Tories that believed in the capitalist system and the monarchy – having a view of a social structure of the working uneducated common man and that of themselves the business and professional class and then their superiors the aristocracy the elite and then the queen ruling above an ideal democratic world.

Modern day Democracy groups are all anti-socialist (anti-communist anti-anarchist anti-rebellionists) who firmly believe in capitalism and believe in a Democracy that has never existed apart from their fairy tales they learned at school. .American schools still teach the American Dream of liberalism – and become bonded debt slaves for life. Money is their goal and of course being charitable – minimal taxation no health care no free education is the goal of all that support pro-democracy movements. It is the delusion that through capitalism we will be free – such are the delusions held by many that advocate democracy and further blind us with their delusions.

The common man in England has only been able to vote for 100

years. Prior to that the only people that could vote were those that owned land and property – no land no property no vote. Millions of men and women – who were supposed to live in some mythological democracy were just slaves within a feudal monarchy. Worse the trial by jury system came from the Baron's (War Lords) who set out men to spy on the wrong doings of others and to report those same wrong doings back to the Baron.

So there was no idealistic dreamy world of educated men and women sitting down and deliberating over the evidence of a case. Much later we had roving judges that would go out into the towns gather 12 men and women – shop owners blacksmiths etc. who would sit and judge on case by case. But for the common man (a bonded slave) there was no democracy and no justice and no trial by jury. "Justice" was meted out according to the customs of that location – we had no common law principles that covered England let alone democracy.

Why is it that Americans are so deluded? We have to look at their history – though very very short. Declaration of Independence. On July 2, 1776, the Second Continental Congress, still meeting in Philadelphia, voted unanimously to declare the independence "of the thirteen United States of America".

Two days later, on July 4, Congress adopted the Declaration of Independence. A mere 242 years of history – not much. The American colonies chose to declare independence from Great Britain for many reasons. They believed the British were treating the colonists unfairly. ... The colonists had no such voice in British government. Thus, they believed the taxes were unfair and illegal.

So they were now free of an old aristocracy and monarchy and declared themselves to be a republic – without a monarch. We can see and understand why these early settlers who were all businessmen – thought that they lived in a democracy where

people had the power and were the rulers of this new world. But democracy prevailed – a ruling powerful elite which controls America now.

In a mere 242 years of history America has created the same “democracy” that exists in England and which existed many thousands of years ago in Greece – a ruling powerful elite governing debt slaves – who have no voice. Trial by jury is not the foundation of democracy – democracy is not the foundation of trial by jury these are beliefs put out by very ignorant people – who have a vested interest in universal slavery and the continuation of the free market capitalism – which does not bring freedom but rather slavery.

Article-61 – The Reality

Article-61 – The Reality



Introduction:

Most people are in complete ignorance as to the how and why the Great Charter came into existence. They just focus on knights turning up at Runnymede and asking John to sign it. They have the impression wrongly held that this was a peaceful event – or that events leading up to the signing were just as peaceful.

There are many so-called pacifists that think of “peaceful rebellion” or would rather not use the word rebellion at all – rather choosing the term “dissent.” “the holding or expression of opinions at variance with those commonly or officially held.” If you hold an opinion at variance with the official

line – you have no real incentive to make a change.

There are those that would rather focus their efforts on education – it's like teaching "you are free" but you have to remain in your chains for generation after generation until the entire population has been re-educated. Most people have the view "Yes I accept what you say but to be honest with you I don't want to do anything that will cause real change. Thankfully there were no pacifists and peace loving hippies at the time of 1512!

The Magna Carta is seen as one of the most influential legal documents in British history. Indeed Lord Denning (1899 -1999) a distinguished British Judge and second only to the Lord Chief Justice as Master of the Rolls, called the document "the greatest constitutional document of all time – the foundation of the freedom of the individual against the arbitrary authority of the despot". However, its original conception was not nearly as successful.

The Magna Carta, also known as Magna Carta Libertatum (the Great Charter of Freedoms), was so called because the original version was drafted in Latin. It was introduced by some of the most notable barons of the thirteenth century in an act of rebellion against their King, King John I (24 December 1199 – 19 October 1216).

Increased taxes, the Kings' excommunication by Pope Innocent III in 1209 and his unsuccessful and costly attempts to regain his empire in Northern France had made John hugely unpopular with his subjects. Whilst John was able to repair his relationship with the Pope in 1213, his failed attempt to defeat Phillip II of France in 1214 and his unpopular fiscal strategies led to a baron's rebellion in 1215.

Whilst an uprising of this type was not unusual, unlike previous rebellions the barons did not have a clear successor in mind to claim the throne. Following the mysterious

disappearance of Prince Arthur, Duke of Brittany, John's nephew and son of his late brother Geoffrey (widely believed to have been murdered by John in an attempt to keep the throne), the only alternative was Prince Louis of France. However, Louis' nationality (France and England had been warring for thirty years at this point) and his weak link to the throne as husband to John's niece made him less than ideal.

As a result, the barons focused their attack on John's oppressive rule, arguing that he was not adhering to the Charter of Liberties. This charter was a written proclamation issued by John's ancestor Henry I when he took the throne in 1100, which sought to bind the King to certain laws regarding the treatment of church officials and nobles and was in many ways a precursor to the Magna Carta.

Negotiations took place throughout the first six months of 1215 but it was not until the barons entered the King's London Court by force on 10 June, supported by Prince Louis and the Scottish King Alexander II, that the King was persuaded to affix his great seal to the 'Articles of the Barons', which outlined their grievances and stated their rights and privileges.

This significant moment, the first time a ruling King had been forcibly persuaded to renounce a great deal of his authority, took place at Runnymede, a meadow on the banks of the River Thames near Windsor on 15 June. For their part, the barons renewed their oaths of allegiance to the King on 19 June 1215. The formal document which was drafted by the Royal Chancery as a record of this agreement on 15 July was to become known retrospectively as the first version of the Magna Carta.

It is to the first Magna Carta – which protects all from a tyrannical monarchy which is held up to be the "true" and only valid Magna Carta and can not be revoked by any monarch or parliament "the foundation of the freedom of the individual

against the arbitrary authority of the despot." It meant that no monarch was above the law.

Signing of the Magna Carta:

Whilst both the King and the baron's had agreed to the Magna Carta as a means of reconciliation, there was still huge distrust on both sides. The baron's had really wanted to overthrow John and see a new monarch take the throne. For his part John reneged on the most crucial section of the document, now known as Clause 61, as soon as the baron's left London.

The clause stated that an established committee of barons had the ability to overthrow the King should he defy the charter at any time. John recognised the threat this posed and had the Pope's full support in his rejection of the clause, because the Pope believed it called into question the authority of not only the King but the Church as well. All monarchs believe that they hold power because they represent God here on earth; they have the power of God and are not subject to the earthly dictates of man or baron.

Sensing the failure of the Magna Carta in curbing John's unreasonable behaviour the baron's promptly changed tack and reinitiated their rebellion (an act of armed resistance to an established government or leader) with a view to replacing the monarch with Prince Louis of France, thrusting Britain headlong into the civil war known as the First Baron's War. (1215–17) was a civil war in the Kingdom of England in which a group of rebellious major landowners (commonly referred to as barons) led by Robert Fitzwalter and supported by a French army under the future Louis VIII of France, waged war against King John of England. The war resulted from the king's refusal to accept and abide by Magna Carta which he had sealed on 15 June 1215, and from the ambitions of the French prince, who dragged the war on after many of the rebels had made peace with John.

So as a means of promoting peace the Magna Carta was a failure, legally binding for only three months. It was not until John's death from dysentery on 19th October 1216 whilst he was mounting a siege on the East of England that the Magna Carta finally made its mark.

Following factions between Louis and the English barons, the royalist supporters of John's son and heir, Henry III, were able to clinch a victory over the barons at the Battles of Lincoln and Dover in 1217. However, keen to avoid a repeat of the rebellion, the failed Magna Carta agreement was reinstated by William Marshal, the young Henry's protector, as the Charter of Liberties – a concession to the barons. This version of the charter was edited to include 42 rather than 61 clauses, with clause 61 being notably absent.

Note: The Battle of Sandwich, also called the Battle of Dover took place on 24 August 1217 as part of the First Barons' War. A Plantagenet English fleet commanded by Hubert de Burgh attacked a Capetian French armada led by Eustace the Monk and Robert of Courtenay off Sandwich, Kent. The English captured the French flagship and most of the supply vessels, forcing the rest of the French fleet to return to Calais.

The French fleet was attempting to bring supplies to Prince Louis, later King Louis VIII of France, whose French forces held London at that time. The English vessels attacked from windward, seizing Eustace's ship, making Robert and the knights prisoner and killing the rest of the crew. Eustace, a notorious pirate, was executed after being taken prisoner. The battle convinced Prince Louis to abandon his effort to conquer England and the Treaty of Lambeth was signed a few weeks later.

The Treaty of Lambeth of 1217, also known as the Treaty of Kingston to distinguish it from the Treaty of Lambeth of 1212, was a peace treaty signed by Prince Louis of France in September 1217 ending the campaign known as the First Barons'

War to uphold the claim by Louis to the throne of England. When the campaign had begun, baronial enemies of the unpopular John, King of England had flocked to the French banner, but after John's death in 1216, and his replacement by a regent, William Marshall, on behalf of the boy king Henry III, many had moved to the English side. Subsequent defeats at Lincoln in May 1217 and at Dover and Sandwich in August 1217 forced Louis to negotiate.

On reaching adulthood in 1227, Henry III reissued a shorter version of the Magna Carta, which was the first to become part of English Law. Henry decreed that all future charters must be issued under the King's seal and between the 13th and 15th centuries the Magna Carta is said to have been reconfirmed between 32 and 45 times, having last been confirmed by Henry VI in 1423 – thus forever killing off Article-61.

It is to be noted that this was an armed rebellion a war – in which John had lost – he had lost to a force of an army – his were engaged in fighting in France. The Baron's would have killed John – but they could find no suitable successor to be a king. Rather than killing John they sort to negotiate with him. John signed – rather be a live king than a dead one. As soon as the Baron's army had left and gone home – John tossed the Magna Carta out the window – propelling England into a two year bloody war. No monarch has since had an Article-61.

And finally it must be restated that this was an act of Rebellion i.e. an act of armed resistance to an established government or leader. The Baron's had an army – and by force of arms they thought that they had won the day. It was not a peaceful rebellion it can not be argued that ion – or a group of people dissenting to the established order neither was it an educational endeavour – it was in fact an act of physical rebellion. One more thing armies do not carry placards proclaiming “support this” – or “save that” armies carry weapons and it is by force of weapons that rebellions win the day. And it can not be argued that Mohandas Karamchand

Gandhi's was a purely peaceful rebellion – there were many violent and bloody clashes an uprising which lead to England pulling out of India.

As to the validity of Magna Carta 1215 there is much argument. The original charter can not be revoked as it is a Charter and a binding agreement between the people and the monarch. All subsequent monarchs have either rejected the Charter or have themselves left articles out – the most notable one being Article-61 which gives authority to the people to remove by physical force of arms monarchs which are oppressive or disagreeable. Monarchs are there by the grace of god – not by the consenting grace of the people and monarchs have always insisted that Common Law and Roman Law do not apply to them. They are in effect above the law – Magna Carta 1215 version declared that not even a monarch was above the law – which is why John and subsequent monarchs have rejected it.

In so far as our current monarch “Queen Elizabeth II” far from abiding by Common Law (any version of Magna Carta one chooses) the current monarchy have chosen Roman Law to give validity and continuation to their reign – they are now a “Corporate Sole.” A corporation sole is a legal entity consisting of a single (“sole”) incorporated office, occupied by a single (“sole”) natural person. This allows corporations the monarchy to pass without interval in time from one office holder to the next successor-in-office, giving the positions legal continuity with subsequent office holders having identical powers and possessions to their predecessors.

This means that our current monarchy is not at the mercy of the people – she is not in place with the people's consent – she is there as a corporate business that will run forever. This means according to Civil or Roman Law she can not be dethroned – and none of her successors can be dethroned. The Queen is relying on Civil or Roman Law not Common Law Magna Carta to stay in office. This means that any legal challenge the current monarch faces in regard to Magna Carta 1215 can be

legally rejected. Which is why a peaceful educated protest will not work – one has to overthrow the whole system and not just the monarchy. And that is too profound for some people to grasp – or want to grasp – but it is the real fact of life – if we don't engage in active rebellion then we will never be free of our chains.

The Question of the Queens coronation oath:

Oaths of allegiance to the Crown are fairly common in British public life and are similar to those in other countries where a declaration of loyalty is made to the state. In order for an oath to be legally effective, it must be administered by a public official. The law creating each public office and describing the duties of the official ordinarily indicates who is authorized to administer the oath of office. A spoken oath is generally sufficient; however, a written and signed oath can be required by law. In the case of our current monarch the official was an archbishop. Oaths are a binding agreement to uphold certain principles and to stick to the truth.

Like all before her the queen took an oath to uphold the customs and common law of the people of England and the Commonwealth – it was tradition – it was what monarchs had done for centuries. However, the monarch was just stepping into place as of “right” in the office of a corporate sole. Of course it was all rather solemn affair – and she was sworn into office by the church – by the grace of god – which appeals to the religious sentiments of those that share such beliefs. She was and is just the current sole person that holds an office in the family business – till she dies or a family member takes on the new role. Her oath to uphold the common laws and customs of the people of England sound a bit hollow when in fact she is just stepping into the family business. Just think of this horror – the Tory Government making itself a corporate sole – then all you pacifists educationalists would be really be in the shit!!!

Modern Times:

In the years 2000/2001 many of our aristocracy – life peers – where concerned with our joining the Economic Union – they noticed that it would give our sovereignty up at that we would become without our own laws – no real parliament – and just be an economic region all powers transferred to Brussels – which was in the process of making itself into a legal entity. There would be no Common Law only Civil or Roman Law and all our traditions would be swept away. Joining would mean that the power of the people would be taken from them – they would be just slaves in an economic capitalist system with no chance of “dissent” or “rebellion” the EU army would come in and crush all forms of revolt and hang those that lead the revolt.

So some 60 “Barons” or life-time peers – decided enough was enough and then according to the original Magna Carta of 1215 Article-61 chose four of their number to write to the queen outlining their concerns and asking her not to give the royal seal of approval – giving her 40 days in which to reply.

The queen was advised that as her office was a corporate sole – she would be unaffected by any constitutional changes – her corporate office would still remain in legal force – no matter the political changes that would eventually lead to the non-existence of England – her office as corporate sole would in effect run forever. She replied and was evasive.

So the peers decided to enact Article-61 of the Magna Carta 1215 which calls for the overthrow of the monarchy – an act of rebellion – not dissent or a re-education but a rebellion – to overthrow the monarchy means you have to also overthrow the political system. A rebellion is violent action organized by a group of people who are trying to change the political system in their country.

Today we have the New Chartist Movement (British Constitution Group) that support just “education” and those that support

“Practical Lawful Dissent” Most committed members have signed an oath to support Article-61 – but have no intentions to support it – focusing there entire actions on magistrates courts. Their logic for this action is as follows:

All organisations that have a royal charter or take an oath of allegiance to the queen have no validity as there is no monarch having been disposed of under Article-61. A magistrate swears on oath to support the queen – as such according to Article-61 his oath is no longer valid and he/she has no lawful right to judge any man or women. A magistrates court is a corporation – like any other business.

But the magistrate is there by royal approval attested by his sworn oath to the monarch. All Statutes are first “Bills” to which a monarch grants his/her seal of approval (a rubber stamp) and thus with no monarch there are no Bills that have been turned into Statute Law since 2001 or 1953. With no monarch there is no Law – we live in a land of lawless open rebellion – all that applies is the Customs and Common Laws of the People.

This activity against magistrates whilst welcome does not challenge the central issue – the overthrow of the system. All that will be required is a simple change in law – when a magistrate or judge is sworn into office there will be no legal requirement to take an oath of loyalty to a monarch. This would mean the end of such activities as common law Magna Carta 1215 and Article-61 – these would have no legal basis in Civil or Roman Law.

We have seen the monarchy move away from common law to civil or Roman law – we have seen our modern day police force move away from Common Law to Civil or Roman law. If we had stayed in the EU the armed services would have moved away from swearing an oath of support to the monarchy to that of swearing an oath of support to the EU State – which runs on Corporate Civil or Roman Law.

To conclude:

Those in power wish to remain in power. That is a simple fact of life which we must all accept. Our kings and queens have always pondered that old age problem – how not to get kicked out of power. They solved that problem by the use of the corporate sole – which was used by catholic bishops – and today our governments do just the same – how can we retain power? Vote rigging – bribery boundary changes – changes in who can legally vote. We have that age old problem of struggling in a war every 4 years – but a war we never win – whatever the gains we make are quickly lost and once again we find ourselves reduced to near poverty and having to pay and pay and pay and pay – to the point when we all bleed to death.

Our monarch has embraced the Corporation. Our Parliament has embraced the Corporation. Our Justice system has embraced the Corporation. Think about it.

We are constantly in a state of war – our civil rights freedoms and civil liberties are under the constant threat of those that wish to make us slaves to Corporate Law. We have always remained slaves to an aristocracy to a monarchy to corporations that enslave. In the past we had armies that brought about rebellion with the force of arms – those force of arms brought YOU Magna Carta 1215 with Article-61. Yet no one has grasped the nettle of the Corporation which is sweeping away ALL your Common Law Rights and Freedoms all your Common Law Justice.



End

The LGBT Agenda – Extinction vs Sexualisation

The LGBT Agenda – Extinction

Gender Change – The De-sexualization of Society:

Part One:

The de-sexualization of society has been progressively and aggressively pursued since the late eighties. Whilst this early movement's philosophy was towards greater equality – in terms of working conditions better pay employment benefits and equality in State benefits – which are all worthy political ambitions – there was no drive to make men and women devoid of their own sexuality



There are many “clinics” and “groups” pushing to switch genders or have no gender at all. They all do it in the name of “gender equality” and switching genders is part of that political game.

A “woman” can become a man to achieve so-called “gender equality” in the workplace and in “his” new social life. What of men? Being masculine is taboo. Men have to be more “feminine” eat Weight Watchers foods and increase oestrogen levels – which increases their femininity – a testosterone free diet reduces masculinity. Social propaganda is a play here – not biological changes. Even if men and women switch genders – their genes do not change. It is a mental shift due to conditioning not a physical shift.

Then we have Monsanto – who have a corn filled with anti-sperm antibodies. Thus making men sterile. It’s called the epicyte gene. Not only are we being poisoned by GMOZ, but now we are silently being Sterilized by the Governments who we believe are protecting our rights and well-being. Not so.

The Tory Government want to bring in Monsanto – and Monsanto wants to see the end of all indigenous crops so that farmers have to keep buying seed stock each year from Monsanto. It is part of the global agenda to control populations – see Agenda 21 documentation – no private property – people moved off the land to live in squalled housing in zones. But that’s another topic.

What we are concerned with today is the growing menace in the West for gender equality and a genderless society – with an aggressive anti-masculinity and anti-heterosexual politically correct agenda promoted and funded by the elite – and with it – to cause gender confusion in the population at large.

In the nineties – the “Gay Pride” movement came into being in America. Homosexuals – fed up with the negativity of

homosexuality – with right-wing Christians – and American general conservatism being all anti-homosexual decided on a propaganda campaign to change attitudes.

Homosexuals decided to re-brand themselves as “Gay” meaning “merry”, “exuberantly joyful.” This can be traced back to medieval French gai, but its earlier origins are unknown. For the past two or three centuries gay has had sexual overtones in general.

In the eighteenth century lewd behaviour was part of the “gay life”, enjoyed by both men and women. It was an aristocratic upper and middle-class phenomenon – the working-class used slang “arse fucker” faggot, queer, fairy jobby jabber (Scottish) pansy poof and queen etc.. Rakes and men-about-town were called “gay blades”. A Dictionary of the Vulgar Tongue published in 1811 gives “the “gaying instrument” as a slang term for the penis.

Homosexuals were to be re-branded – “gay” – “merry”, “exuberantly joyful” and like any other modern product every one was persuaded to use the new correct term. It was deemed “politically incorrect” to say “homosexual” and all media must take on this new politically correct term.

And much worse was the idea – how could one not like a “gay” “merry”, “exuberantly joyful” person? It became politically incorrect to dislike “gays.” And of course homosexuals can hide from themselves who they really are – they are now a new breed of human a new homo sapiens on equal terms as heterosexual males.

At least that’s what the propaganda says. It is perfectly normal human reaction to dislike homosexuals and lesbians the majority of the British population still quite naturally and normally dislike them – for those that have been brainwashed indoctrinated they tend to feel “neutral” having no real opinion at all – apart from the rhetoric – this confusion and

neutrality is part of the end game – part of the plan towards a genderless neutral society.

It is to be noted that Lesbians (a female homosexual: a female who experiences romantic love or sexual attraction for other women. The word lesbian is derived from the name of the Greek island of Lesbos, home to the 6th-century BCE poet Sappho) may have a longer linguistic history than gay men. Contrary to the incomplete information given in the OED (Oxford English Dictionary), the word lesbian has meant “female homosexual” since at least the early eighteenth century back to the 6th BCE.

William King in his satire *The Toast* (published 1732, revised 1736), referred to “Lesbians” as women who “loved Women in the same Manner as Men love them”. During that century, references to “Sapphic lovers” and “Sapphism” meant a woman who liked “her own sex in a criminal way”. For centuries before that, comparing a woman to Sappho of Lesbos implied passions that were more than poetic.

Thus, the indoctrination and propaganda spread to the UK. In 15 years every one has been indoctrinated to choose “gay” and not “homosexual.” In fact the word “gay” has become common spoken UK English and has entered all our dictionaries books social media – MSM (Main Stream Media) – schools and universities – hospitals medical profession as a whole and the legal system -have all been indoctrinated to choose this new term.

The indoctrination of the UK population is almost complete. Even Wikipedia has turned homosexual into the weird term of “LGBT.” There are still some people left in the UK who see things as they are – who are in reality – not the fiction of indoctrination.

In the 60s there was a drive for greater equality between men and women – as stated above – and with it came the new left

political ideology – correct political speech. This at least on the left of British politics meant the “cleansing” of language. “Nigger” Black people “Wogs” and “gollywog” were to vanish into the dustbin – or the “waste receptacle bin.”

This new left dialectic has spread and kids in our schools are fully indoctrinated and it has become part of the new politically correct speech of the Left. Socialist Labour means correct political thinking – and with all indoctrination it is a form of Tyranny.

LGBT “Fashion Week” the new Horrors:



Free thinking is only possible with a full vocabulary not a restricted set of words – thinking is restricted expression of thought is restricted and one’s view of the world as it is one is effectively blind to it.

What is Indoctrination? Indoctrination is the process of changing someone’s mind – their perception of “reality” and giving explanation of how to “see” and interpret the world. Language – ideas help us to construct thoughts and communication with others – so words the meaning of words changes – say every ten to twenty years – the words and meaning of words – mean differing things – depending on your indoctrination – socialisation at any given moment in time.

A case in point is “I’m going to Hoover the house” The Hoover Company had a major impact on British people through the 50’s 60’ and 70’s that “hoovering” was so indoctrinated into the population – it completely replaced to “vacuum.” The term Hoover was everywhere – even “I’m going to buy a Hoover.”

Not even Dyson has had such a major impact on language and thinking as Hoover. “Brand Marketing” is not new – but has developed to the point that people can be persuaded to think and speak in the correct manner that a vested interest group

promotes. We are all influenced by vested interest groups that all have their own agendas – to which we should all accept as legitimate accept in reality.

In our schools there's the pressure to switch sexual identity – at a time in development when our kids are pre-pubescent – when no hormonal chemicals have been released into the body to influence physiology or psychology of the individual – schools are being stopped to use terms such as “she” “him” “her” – to be replaced by genderless expressions – all this is driven by homosexuals lesbians and transgender “humans.”

Their propaganda is to replace heterosexual behaviours with pro-homosexual pro-lesbian and pro-transgender and more pro-sexualisation of people so that they are completely confused about their own gender and do not have any words to speak of gender issues – unless prescribed by the LGBT. Their aim is to cripple scientific thinking research and destroy language.

We see this in the anti-heterosexual movement and the increasing pressure on children to switch genders at a young age – to be conditioned into something they are not and punished if they reject – also the shift of homosexuals to being gay and now lesbians being called gay so one sexless humanoid not male not female. It is not politically correct to challenge the so-called gay movement – they have rights to be who they are etc..

Furthermore, who is to say what our children are? Their minds are being trained conditioned into becoming something which biologically they are not – and images blurring male and female gender which conditions people into acceptance – fashion shows – television images and the push of so-called gay rights gay marriage gay everything and the de-sexualise of people.

Being sexually attractive expressing one's sexuality is now

taboo "sex" has become tasteless not to be discussed – so women and men's sexuality is being suppressed. So the question is who has millions of dollars and pounds to invest in organisations and movements whose aim is gender change?

The pink pound was a new market for those making money – men being women buying dresses and cosmetics makes a lot of money – men are being encouraged to wear make-up – there are greater forces in play shifting everyone to be genderless – you will not fuck. No fuck = no kids. I've read of cases in America where people are thinking they are genderless – and wanting their genitals removed. Or women who fall in love with a "tree" and wish to marry?

What will happen to normal heterosexuals say over 10 generations? You will have an increasingly aggressive minority of sexless humans who are anti-sex anti-mating people. So what happens when you have a genderless society?? It goes extinct. It dies out. We are already confronted with test tube babies gene manipulation. Bio-engineering – all of which the ordinary conditioned person does not even think about.

There is a political trend in Capitalism to keep people out of education – unless you are wealthy. The cost of education will rise under capitalism so that even those people who consider themselves middle-class and above will think twice to further education.

But as now kids education from the age of 4 to 10 or 11 will be free – all you need to do is buy all the books pens and pads and the school uniform. Give me the child – and I will create the man – or in this case the genderless humanoid. So what's the end game? Extinction.

This process of being genderless will happen over the next 60 to 100 years in the West – because people are being conditioned to accept alternative sex as normal. It's one of the reasons for war in the Middle East – to convert to

Capitalism in the Far East such ideas have not yet taken root though we do get lady-boys in Thailand.

Most women in the Far East still retain their sexuality and femininity – whereas in the West more women and more men are becoming dysfunctional. Boys Men are increasingly becoming more feminine and women more aggressive – looking and dressing as men – thinking of equality their human rights but are being deceived as are men.

These kinds of photos of pretty Asian women are “frowned” upon – not considered as “politically correct”



What would be the results of this game? Complete censorship of thoughts – the inability to have normal sexual relations and more people becoming completely dysfunctional – worse:

There will be no man or men
There will be no woman or women
No masculinity
No femininity
No boy
No girl
No Mr.
No Mrs.
No Sir
No Madam
No masculine names
No feminine names
Censorship of science
Censorship of medicine
Censorship of the arts
Censorship of all museums
Censorship of all books
Censorship of fashion
Censorship of education

Censorship of politics

Say "Good bye" to your postman and say "Hello" to your postperson. All these new genderless words have to be added to all our dictionaries. Just think of the millions and millions of pounds – who has that kind of money?? Who pours millions of pounds into gay rights? Who has millions of pounds to put men in dresses? Follow the money.

And know the end game which is Extinction. This is the Agenda planned and being put into action right now – if you follow the money – Rothchilds – the "elite." The agenda is gender confusion for the "goyim."

Part Two:

Over the last 70 years – especially in the USA we have seen that all media is now controlled by six big corporations – Comcast (148.2 Billion Dollars – Disney (88.1 Billion Dollars) TimeWarner (66.1 Billion Dollars) News Corp (56 Billion Dollars) National Amusements (43 Billion Dollars) and Sony (34.1 Billion Dollars).

Comcast Disney and TimeWarner play the leading roles in providing News and Entertainment – what we watch as young kids teenagers into adulthood. What we have seen over the years is an increasing pattern to shape the mind's of children through their teenage years through adulthood. By ownership of media – one can have continuity of programming of conditioning be it in regard to social values of loving kindness of care – to religious or political views – all these can be determined at a very young age through the medium of so-called entertainment.

Disney has long been associated with including sexual messages and songs in it's films and television shows – to which 5 and 6 year old's watch and learn from – they acquire their social habits from cartoon characters – and increasingly we have seen

all the major players – making young children much more aware of sexual activities – 6 to 9 year old's dressing as teenagers 16 to 18 we have seen over the years a drive by these media moguls to make children more sexually aware of their bodies in a trend that is going younger and younger and younger.

This agenda is particularly aimed at girls. The use of cosmetics fashion clothes dating boys their own sexuality masturbation and having sexual relations with boys and girls is encouraged from a very very young age. They are encouraged at an early age to consume that which is fashionable and sexy. They are expected to look sexually attractive – slim athletic with big breasts and a sexy smile. Women in their teenage years become obsessed by their looks – are they sexually attractive? Are they wearing the right clothes and cosmetics? Do they worship the same show biz stars – do they have a sexual partner? And they must like sex – masturbation sharing photos with others – engaging in sexual activities from a very very early age.

Whilst the sexualization is happening – there is no sexual education – so girls only learn from their girly magazines social media and what they are told to be like by the entertainment industry. But most Americans are very conservative right-wing religious people – yet that value system is under threat from a new generation of kids that have been indoctrinated to reject “traditional” family values of right-wing god-fearing conservatism.

The biggest problem is that most American commentators see it as an orthodox white conservative god-fearing fight between “Satan” and the “All Saving God” without ever once questioning this mass indoctrination. “Devil Worship” the abandoning of fundamental beliefs in the “one true god” is very much apart of American thinking as it was three hundred years ago.

Here's a film that will open your eyes:

THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT:

THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT: THE POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR PATRIOTS AND INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES

KENN D'LOUDNEY, Campaign Coordinator, Democracy Defined Restoration Campaign.

Excerpt from The Winchester Declaration By Justin Walker

We, who are sovereign and who are gathered here today in the ancient capital of King Alfred the Great, on this day of the Nineteenth of November, 2016, do now serve notice to our elected servants and representatives in Parliament that we require the Rule of Law to be fully restored to the British people with immediate effect by their passing of The Restoration Amendment.

So today, at Winchester, we do serve notice to our elected servants in parliament, and to those residing in the corridors of power, that if you do not now actively support The Restoration Amendment to restore fully the Rule of Law in our country, and you persist in your treasonous ways with your malfeasance in public office, that we are compelled by the Common and God-given Law of this country to take whatever appropriate and peaceful steps that are needed to bring you to justice. This is a pledge that we take willingly today that cannot be lawfully broken. The Rule of Law will be restored to our nation!

JUSTIN WALKER, Campaign Coordinator, British Constitution

Group & New Chartist Movement.

The Restoration Amendment For Liberty and Equal Justice for All, and for the civil peace, well-being and general contentment of our People, we, [insert head of state's name] the undersigned, do ordain and enact this statute, to be known hereafter as The Restoration Amendment, to make plain and re-establish beyond all doubt and dispute the legal, lawful Sovereignty Supremacy and Primacy of the People.

This statute re-establishes and confirms the role of the English head of state as the people's symbolic 'sovereign', the representative and guardian of the people's interests legally and constitutionally-bound by *legem terræ*, the Law of the Land and Realm inscribed into the 1215 Great Charter Magna Carta.

This statute includes accompanying explanatory annotation (Notes). At this time, when the treasonous 3 claim of "parliamentary sovereignty" is all too often heard, this Amendment recognises and restores the correct, unchanging supreme legal and lawful status of the 1215 Great Charter Magna Carta, the kingdom's exemplary, world-respected and revered Constitution, also known as the Great Charter of English Liberties. For as long as our other home nations 4 participate in and remain subject to our Westminster parliament, the Great Charter extends its Rule of Law protections to them also.

One most significant and revealing attribute associated with the 1215 Great Charter Constitution Magna Carta ought to be acknowledged and amongst initial deliberations on the subject. The English Constitution's authors and the People's forefathers, common and ennobled, knew, understood, defined and prescribed within the 1215 Great Charter the sole peaceful means known to humankind for annihilating tyranny 5 and establishing equal justice for all.

This was through the mechanism *judicium parium*; to which we now refer as the Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury; or, for short hereinafter, as Trial by Jury 6 (proper noun, capitalised). We draw particular attention within this Restoration statute to Trial by Jury, the unique phenomenon of Liberty and Justice defined and prescribed by Magna Carta in 1215.

Despite widespread illiteracy, no press (printing), still less the Internet, the folk all knew they had the greatest conceivable heritage of liberty and equal justice. It was learned and passed from generation to generation throughout the land by word of mouth.

The Gothic pan-European people understood and vigorously upheld the sole peaceful mechanism known to mankind for creation of a uniquely egalitarian, just rule of law which is secular, universally applicable, and embodies the 'sine qua non', the defining factor, distinguishing genuine human Civilisation, Democracy 7 , from the primitive barbarism of secular or theocratic despotism 8 . We extol Trial by Jury as humankind's model justice system for all causes, civil, criminal and fiscal, hereby restoring and implementing it as such.

All nations govern (rule) through their justice system. Free people and nations govern themselves through their Justice System. As all causes are hereafter decided and upheld through our Constitutional Trial by Jury Justice System to the exclusion of all propounded alternative means of enforcing law, the now-restored Trial by Jury and Magna Carta 1215 cover and govern all legal and social contingencies whatsoever.

Our Common Law Constitution 9 achieves the natural aspiration for an equitable rule of law. It does this by creating a level 'playing field' for all; that is, by making all men and women equal and subject to the same rule of law as everyone else. This explicitly includes everyone: head of state,

parliamentary legislators local and national, government functionaries, bureaucrats, justices, judges, personnel and employees, Police, Prison Service and Armed Services. No one is 'above' the rule of law.

The purpose of this Restoration Amendment is to annihilate such uncivilised phenomena as arbitrary government, despotism and tyranny within England and its Dependencies for all time. Article Sixty-One of the 1215 Great Charter, which is hereby restored, removes 'immunity from prosecution' from those who form or work for government. It renders them just as liable to be arraigned for Crime 10 at Trial by Jury as any other person. Citizens volunteering for or legally conscripted into our Armed Services shall be subject only to martial or maritime laws which are ultimately judicable 11 .

The Restoration Amendment is an Act recognising and perpetuating the perennial legal supremacy of the Trial by Jury and the 1215 Great Charter as our English Constitution and System of Justice over all judicial interpretations, precedent, stare decisis, parliamentary edicts, statutes, laws and measures, and the laws and by-laws, regulations and measures of local administrative government.

As head of state, by our enacting (signing) The Restoration Amendment into law on behalf of us and our heirs forever, we have now hereby re-affirmed all the liberties stated in the 1215 Great Charter Magna Carta to be had and held by all Englishmen and women of this nation and their heirs forever.

We acknowledge that these liberties were in any case granted in perpetuity by the 1215 Great Charter to all men and women of our kingdom. The 1215 Great Charter Constitution and The Restoration Amendment empower the People to govern and guide our administrative governments for all time through the supreme sovereign authority of the People to decide their laws and liberties for themselves, this being accomplished by the

judgements, verdicts and sentences in due process of Trial by Jury.

The Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury is the sole legitimate justice system for deciding all causes, thus comprising the supreme legislature and judiciary of the realm. This freedom we shall observe, and it is our will that it be observed in good faith by our heirs and successors forever.

In 1215, following government misrule, the principal intent of Magna Carta was restoration of the rule of law through Trial by Jury. The defining, prescribing and re-implementation of the People's traditional Common Law Trial by Jury as the sole legal justice system for all causes formed the Great Charter's core doctrine. Today, through parliamentary treason and misrule, our Constitutional Justice System has fallen into deplorable disrepair.

The same Act of Restoration is now requisite to uphold the rule of law and is accomplished by this Restoration Amendment. The Sovereignty of the People is recognised and expressed through our restoration of the Juror's Sovereignty, Powers, Procedures, Rights and Duties in Trial by Jury.

Remembering that it is the People, as distinct from head of state or government, who choose their Constitution, we observe that implementing the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System for settling all causes, civil, criminal and fiscal, is the preoccupation and substance of all Western Constitutions; such as those of the United States of America, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and others.

In the most profound sense, the West and all legitimate societies have but One Constitution: it is *judicium parium*, the Trial by Jury of Magna Carta, 1215. In restoring Trial by Jury Courts for all causes, we proscribe all other means of settling causes, summary processes and the *ex parte* trial-by-government-judge.

We require convenors of Trials by Jury (nowadays speciously referred to as judges and/or justices) to be recognised by that nomenclature, Convenor; and that convenors be chosen (elected) not less frequently than every three years by adult people local to the court.

Government-appointed officials, Arbitrators and Ombudsmen may not preside at or decide causes. Improper or brutish treatment of citizens by government or security employees shall be freely prosecuted following Plaintiff, with punishment of perpetrators, redress and compensation decided by the Jurors at Trial by Jury.

The justice, fairness and applicability of all our acts, statutes, by-laws and regulations and their value or the dearth of it to the People's interests, shall be discerned and judged by Jurors in the Trial by Jury. Only if the statute law and all aspects of its enforcement be unanimously adjudged by the jurors at each case as being just, fair, and applying equally to all, then the prosecution at Trial by Jury may proceed (viz. the 1215 Great Charter's Articles 24, 39, 40, 61, etc.); otherwise, statutes must be struck down through the Jurors' Annulment by Jury 12 duty at Trial by Jury, and duly expunged 13 from the roll of statutes.

We restore the duty of sentencing proven crimes and wrongdoers to the common law jury, thus removing the power to punish from government which has illegitimately countermanded our Constitution by misappropriating this function from Trial by Jury.

Henceforth, government justices have only a discretionary power to lessen or moderate sentences 14 , but never to increase penalties imposed by the jury. The judicial function is that of the jurors who are the judges; the government's role in the justice system is executive, that is, to carry out the jury's sentence, thereby upholding the rule of law.

We ordain that, before Trial, convenors (cf. today's 'judges') and both prosecuting and defence counsel (or the defendant in self-defence without counsel) take it upon themselves to educate and instruct jurors to fulfil their Duties 15 in Trial by Jury, specifically including that of Annulment by Jury; that is, of Jurors judging on the justice of the law and annulling prosecution of laws or acts of enforcement deemed by the Juror to be unjust or unfair to the accused by pronouncing the defendant Not Guilty. No one is obliged to obey an unjust law, and judgement thereon is solely the Jurors' Duty.

The Restoration Amendment upholds *legem terræ*, de facto humankind's moral, ethical, philosophical, legal and model constitution. Legally, no government statute, treaty or edict can ever supersede *legem terræ*, the supreme, timeless constitutional common law of the land. Every act or action which intentionally undermines the Sovereignty of the Juror and/or denies the Trial by Jury remains the Crime 16 of Treason 17. Through Trial by Jury, this Amendment upholds the human right to privacy, the right to unmolested tranquillity of existence and the pursuit of individually-defined self-fulfilment and happiness.

We do hereby exhort our People to take it upon themselves to bring our Culture to due prominence once more; for the People to take back their natural egalitarian sovereignty and secular common law courts; to enjoy the fruits of national issuance of interest-free currency and credit, free of government indebtedment and free from concomitant compulsory taxation; and to re-secure unto themselves legal Constitutional control of the Wealth of the Nation 18 ; their rightful due inheritance.

Firstly, recognition of the 1215 Great Charter Magna Carta as comprising the single and sole legal and lawful written English Constitution; the permanent supreme treaty between the people and their successive chosen incumbent heads of state.

This first measure achieves Restoration of the full and proper functioning of the People's Courts of the authentic Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System prescribed and defined by the 1215 Great Charter Constitution for all causes, civil, criminal and fiscal; and,

Secondly, Common Law economic and fiscal measures are a natural corollary to the above first item for specifically proscribing the Common Law Crimes of Usury and fraudulent Fractional Reserve Lending; and returning to the People through a national government department, the treasury, the duty of issuance of interest-free currency and credit to the economy.

We refer, for example, to our kingdom's three hundred million pound (£300 million*) interest-free issuance of the 'Bradbury Pound' in 1914, and similar renowned measures taken previously by Presidents Jefferson, Madison and Jackson, to Lincoln's 'greenbacks', and Franklin's Colonial Scrip (18) .

These twin causes are legislatively formulated as this, The Restoration Amendment. With our enactment of the Amendment now, following its passage through our parliament, the Constitutional Rule of Law and Equal Justice shall prevail throughout England once more: legality is returned to the status quo.

Historically, England is the longest surviving Constitutional Democracy. We, [insert head of state's name], rejoice in enacting this Amendment into law for our People and remind them of the words of one of our most august intellectual citizens, a widely read and travelled philosopher, John Milton, "Let not England forget her precedence in teaching other nations how to live." 19

We pronounce Magna Carta of 1215 the Paragon of Constitutions! It is our desire that our People be brought by ongoing education to learn about the Constitutional Duty and Common

Law responsibility of the People's administrations (government) to issue interest-free credit and fiat legal tender currency (cash and coin) to the economy.

This socio-economic measure has unique, demonstrated fortifying benefits to national independence, infrastructure, security, defence, research and development, services, trade, industry and commerce, education and healthcare, enterprise, employment and productivity, the Arts and Sciences.

Thus, the Restoration Amendment not only devolves due authority to the people through the Sovereignty of the Juror guaranteeing Liberty and Justice for all persons, but this Amendment shall also hereafter generate civil peace, unity and well-being to all the population with real prosperity widespread.

Our people being our greatest resource and national asset, the People's national Common Law-based economy shall fund (non-religious) crèches and kindergarten for working parents, and primary, secondary, tertiary and technical education freely. Likewise, fitting financial and healthcare assistance for our Ex-Servicemen shall be provided in due respect.

We ordain that financial assistance and other succour for those disadvantaged shall be generously forthcoming from our government. Restoration is enacted to empower the populace to envision, create and sustain a virile, free, compassionate property-owning constitutional democracy prosperous as never before; the best of all possible worlds; an illustrious exemplar among nations for others to emulate.

- NOTE: Three hundred million pounds in 1914 is the equivalent of thirty-three billion, five hundred and sixty-nine million today. See explanation at end of text, p.8.

There shall be no 'cashless' electronic economy to replace cash and coin completely, as has been mooted. There shall be no debt-based administrations' figmental 'borrowing' of 'credit' or 'money' made from thin air and 'issued' by privately-owned banks and finance houses; no fraudulent Fractional Reserve Lending.

The People's national government shall issue interest-free credit and currency sufficient to generate production and trade for all transactions and facilitate growth, whilst being responsibly regulated to avoid deflation and suppress inflation (18). Restoration of the Constitution's rule of law proffers widespread affluence throughout the population, which we assert no present party-politician can deliver to our people while the Illegality of the Status Quo continues to prevail 20 .

Our populace deserves truthful information about the advantages and benefits which will accrue to them and the country when people isolate and leave those political parties and organisations who do not stand for Restoration of our nation's revered Constitution, its financial and political Independence, the national issuance of interest-free money and credit, and our People's cherished heritage of Liberty and Equal Justice through Trial by Jury.

Politicians who would prolong the Illegality of the Status Quo deserve prompt removal from office and replacement by newly-elected representatives. We wish unpatriotic politicians, all of them, to be identified for what they are. The Restoration Amendment may be personally adopted and supported by all men and women of whatever political persuasion.

However, it is predictable that most of the politicians and parties in the current corrupted system will be against our Restoration of the Rule of Law, proving them not only to be unpatriotic and anti-democratic ("against We the People") but

also essentially felonious.

Such traitors are in breach of the Rule of Law, deserving of no respect and are due indictment. We emphasise that administrative governments are forbidden from borrowing at interest and individuals and banks are forbidden from lending at interest. The Owners and Principals of finance houses shall be penalised for committing the Common Law Crimes of Usury and Fractional Reserve Lending (fraud).

This Amendment replaces national issuance of interest-free credit and currency, eliminating the levying of tax for the paying to private bank-owners of 'interest' which is criminal usury and the 'capital' of faux 'loans' which are mere inscriptions in a ledger by pen or at the click of a computer's 'mouse'. Citizens require to be informed that, for the common good, the practices of Usury and Fractional Reserve Lending are now re-criminalised. The criminally-incurred 'national debt' is hereby dissolved.

Apropos of the 2016 referendum, we specify that the economics of Restoration offers Remainers a spectacular incentive to become Brexiters—and to cease demands for a second referendum. Those who wish our nation to “remain” within the tyrannical European Union soviet system without Trial by Jury would, unwittingly or from self-serving complicity, bind us under a profoundly criminal, impoverishing, debt-based system.

Quisling Remainers would not only surrender everyone's right to self-governance, sovereignty and justice through Trial by Jury, but collaborate in the anti-democratic political ascendancy of the private bank owner's dictatorial control (18).

Remainers breach our Constitution and persons advocating such treachery shall henceforth be ostracised by us. Instead, however, Brexiters and those loyal to Common Law values and Trial by Jury in parliament have now passed this Restoration

Amendment to bring social justice to economics, installing national issuance of currency and credit interest-free.

In recent years, many hundreds of statutes have been passed amounting to many scores of thousands of often complicated pages containing barely comprehensible committee-evolved doublespeak. In contrast, the seven-page Restoration Amendment which governs all legislation, is set forth and explained within a textbook of under three hundred. Legislation henceforth need be expressed in clear texts which conform to the straightforward standards and demands of Justice and Equity set and exacted by Common Law.

NOTES

In pursuance of the bureaucratic function of framing legislation and providing accompanying explication, and to render The Restoration Amendment's meaning and intent unequivocal, the enumerated annotation in this statute relates to definitive information within the textbook Democracy Defined: The Manifesto ISBN 978-1-902848-26-6.

1 Sovereignty. See Definitions Unalterable at Common Law; Chapter Three. As symbolic 'sovereigns' without sovereignty, monarchs may refer to themselves in the first person plural, the royal 'we', to act on behalf of all of the People, for it is the People, as distinct from head of state, parliament or government, who embody sovereignty both collectively, and individually through the Powers, Procedures, Rights and Duties of the Juror in Trial by Jury.

2 Legem Terræ, the Law of the Land and Realm, Common Law. See all Chapters; also specific Note with translation and pronunciation, Chapter One; definitions, commentaries, Chapter Three.

3 Definition. Treason; see Definitions Unalterable at Common Law, Chapter Three.

4 Magna Carta guides and governs the English executive, legislature, judiciary and People; thus, de facto, Magna Carta protects Peoples of Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland whilst those nations remain politically united with England under Westminster parliaments.

5 Definition. Tyranny is defined (generally and at Common Law) as oppressive rule administered with injustice; the cruel and arbitrary use of authority; cf. Crime against Humanity; the Nuremberg Precedent, etc. See particularly Chapters One, Three, Five and Six.

6 Exposition on the authentic Trial by Jury is given in all Chapters.

7 Hellenic Greece of the Constitution of government by Trial by Jury received from the Athenians the defining epithet, demokratia; Democracy. Etymology, definition in Chapter One.

8 Definition. despotism; see section, The Foundational Principle Of Liberty, Chapter Two.

9 Articles of Common Law are inscribed into the 1215 Great Charter Constitution and upheld by this statutory instrument, The Restoration Amendment. See Chapters Three and Five. Definition. Crime is defined as any act of injustice committed with malice aforethought; mens rea (pronounced rayer). Any 'act' means not only legislation but also physical acts.

11 Definition. judicable, that which may be Tried by Jury in a court of law.

12 Annulment by Jury; see exposition on Trial by Jury in all Chapters.

13 Expunction of Statutes by the Trial by Jury mechanism; Chapter Four.

14 If justices' moderation of a jury's sentence is deemed

biased, illegal, or dubious, justice/s involved are liable to private Plaintiff, indictment and judgement at Trial by Jury; Chapter Four.

15 See the Juror's Duties; Chapter One.

16 Definition. Crime; see Chapter Three.

17 Definition. Treason; see Chapters Three, Five and Six.

18 & (18) Economic issues and common law; see Chapter Six. "Upon the whole it may be observed, that it is the highest Interest of a Trading Country in general to make Money plentiful; and that it can be a Disadvantage to none that have honest Designs." Read Benjamin Franklin, 1729, A Modest Enquiry into the Nature and Necessity of a Paper-Currency.

19 See John Milton: Selected Prose; Ed. C.A. Patrides, University of Missouri Press.

20 The Illegality of the Status Quo; definition, Chapter One; exemplification in all Chapters. Extracts from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto ISBN 978-1902848-26-6 7THE POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR PATRIOTS AND INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT, id est, this statute re-establishing the legal supremacy of our world-respected, revered, binding 1215 Great Charter English Constitution and its exemplary RULE OF LAW, is for dutiful upholding by all conscientious, just-minded men and women of every party or political persuasion.

At coronations, opening of parliament and divers solemn occasions, we intend that all successive heads of state and their administrators, Servicemen and government employees shall ceremonially swear to bind themselves to uphold and be forever subject to the stipulations of the 1215 Great Charter Constitution Magna Carta.

By this Restoration Statute and by the irrevocable contract and treaty with the People, the 1215 Great Charter

Constitution Magna Carta itself, on behalf of us and our heirs forever, the successive incumbent heads of state grant and guarantee to all men and women of the nation all the liberties stipulated by the Articles of Common Law in Magna Carta 1215, to be had and held by them and their heirs from us and our heirs forever. This freedom we shall observe, and our will is that it be observed in good faith by all our heirs, people and parliaments in perpetuity.

Signed.....Head of State.

[Date]

~~~~~

The Restoration Amendment relates to, and is backed by, the legal / constitutional authorities, quotations, and references in: DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto ISBN 978-1-902848-26-6, published 2016. British Library cataloguing in publication data.

N.B. Inflation is caused by the (private consortia behind) the B of E Central Bank's over-issuance of credit and currency at interest not backed by equivalent increases in gross national production. As a result, the British pound has experienced an average inflation rate of 4.64% per year between 1914 and 2018.

Due to the Bank of England's premeditated inflationary manipulations of the economy, £100 in 1914 is today the equivalent of £11,189.71p. £300,000,000 in the year 1914 is worth £33,569,126,938.78 in 2018 (viz. Office for National Statistics composite price index). That is, three hundred million pounds is equal to thirty-three billion, five hundred and sixty-nine million today.

Having been afraid of a 'run on the banks' since the outbreak of war, only following that issuance of state money did the high-street banks then reopen. People who had planned to

withdraw their money and savings happily accepted these new Treasury banknotes created by H.M. Government, and there were no problems at all concerning inflation.

End

---

# Counter Intelligence

## Counter Intelligence

**Counterintelligence** refers to the practice and activities conducted to commit espionage, sabotage, or assassinations regime change conducted for or on behalf of your own government or organization or persons or actively engaged in international terrorist activities covertly paid and supported by your government.

Then there's the "Increment" these are generally recruited from the SAS or SBS and do the dirty work of monarchy and government – there's little on this dirty tricks brigade – very few leaks and very few journalists will investigate – but here's few videos to peak your interest: